



Fisheries and Oceans
Canada

Pêches et Océans
Canada

Ecosystems and
Oceans Science

Sciences des écosystèmes
et des océans

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS)

Research Document 2021/045

Quebec Region

Physical Oceanographic Conditions in the Gulf of St. Lawrence during 2020

P.S. Galbraith¹, J. Chassé², J.-L. Shaw¹, J. Dumas¹, C. Caverhill³, D. Lefaiivre¹, C. Lafleur¹

¹Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Québec Region,
Maurice Lamontagne Institute,
P.O. Box 1000, Mont-Joli, Québec, G5H 3Z4

²Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Gulf Region,
Gulf Fisheries Centre,
P.O. Box 5030, Moncton, New Brunswick, E1C 9B6

³Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Maritimes Region,
Bedford Institute of Oceanography,
P.O. Box 1006, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, B2Y 4A2

Foreword

This series documents the scientific basis for the evaluation of aquatic resources and ecosystems in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.

Published by:

Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat
200 Kent Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0E6

[http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/
csas-sccs@dfo-mpo.gc.ca](http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/csas-sccs@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)



© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2021
ISSN 1919-5044
ISBN 978-0-660-39166-3 Cat. No. Fs70-5/2021-045E-PDF

Correct citation for this publication:

Galbraith, P.S., Chassé, J., Shaw, J.-L., Dumas, J., Caverhill, C., Lefavre, D. and Lafleur, C.
2021. Physical Oceanographic Conditions in the Gulf of St. Lawrence during 2020. DFO
Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2021/045. iv + 81 p.

Aussi disponible en français :

*Galbraith, P.S., Chassé, J., Shaw, J.-L., Dumas, J., Caverhill, C., Lefavre, D. et Lafleur, C.
2021. Conditions océanographiques physiques dans le golfe du Saint-Laurent en 2020.
Secr. can. de consult. sci. du MPO. Doc. de rech. 2021/045. iv + 84 p.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	IV
INTRODUCTION	1
CLIMATOLOGY PERIOD SHIFT	2
AIR TEMPERATURE	2
PRECIPITATION AND FRESHWATER RUNOFF	3
SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY	4
SHIPBOARD THERMOLISANOGRAPH	4
THERMOGRAPH NETWORK.....	4
AVHRR REMOTE SENSING BLEND.....	5
SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE IN 2020.....	6
SEA ICE.....	6
WINTER WATER MASSES	8
COLD INTERMEDIATE LAYER.....	9
FORECAST FROM THE MARCH 2020 SURVEY.....	9
AUGUST–SEPTEMBER CIL.....	9
NOVEMBER CIL CONDITIONS IN THE ST. LAWRENCE ESTUARY	10
SEASONAL MEAN CIL INDEX	10
SUMMARY OF CIL CONDITIONS	11
BOTTOM WATER TEMPERATURES ON THE MAGDALEN SHALLOWS	11
DEEP WATERS (>150 M).....	12
BOTTOM WATER TEMPERATURES IN DEEP WATERS.....	12
DEEP TEMPERATURE MAXIMUM	12
TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY ANNUAL MEANS	13
SEASONAL AND REGIONAL AVERAGE TEMPERATURE STRUCTURE	13
CURRENTS AND TRANSPORTS	14
HIGH FREQUENCY SAMPLING AZMP STATIONS.....	15
SUMMARY	16
KEY FINDINGS.....	16
OUTLOOK FOR 2021.....	17
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	18
REFERENCES CITED.....	19
FIGURES.....	22

ABSTRACT

An overview of physical oceanographic conditions in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) in 2020 is presented as part of the Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program (AZMP). AZMP data as well as data from regional monitoring programs are analysed and presented in relation to long-term means, shifted from 1981-2010 to 1991–2020 in this edition of the report. The annual average freshwater runoffs of the St. Lawrence River measured at Québec City and its combination with rivers flowing into the Estuary (RIVSUM II) were above normal. Sea-ice maximum volume was below normal, but the winter mixed layer volume was near normal. The August cold intermediate layer (CIL) was warmer than normal but the seasonally averaged minimum temperature index was near normal. Surface water temperatures in the Estuary reached an unusual series record high in July; upwelling and mixing that occurs at the head of the Laurentian Channel usually keeps Estuary surface water cool, but North-easterly winds appear to have created a circulation that capped the mixing region with warmer waters. The overall seasonal average May–November SST for the Gulf was near normal in spite of an above normal seasonal August maximum (+0.7°C, +0.9 SD). Surface water temperatures were then at a record low in September in the Estuary and Northwest Gulf, caused by strong vertical wind mixing. This mixing warmed the bottom waters of the Magdalen Shallows such that the area covered by water with temperatures <1°C in September decreased to a near record low. Deep water temperatures have been increasing overall in the Gulf since 2009, with inward advection from Cabot Strait. Gulf-wide average temperature at 150 m was lower than the 2015 record highs but above normal at 3.7°C (+1.6 SD). New series record highs (since 1915) were set at 200, 250 and 300 m, at 5.7°C (+1.2°C, +1.9 SD), 6.6°C (+1.1°C, +2.5 SD) and 6.8°C (+1.1°C, +2.7 SD) respectively. Bottom area covered by waters warmer than 6°C was at a record high in the Northwest Gulf, the Northeast Gulf, and in Centre and Cabot Strait, and some 7–8°C habitat appeared for the first time in the Northeast Gulf.

INTRODUCTION

This document examines the physical oceanographic conditions and related atmospheric forcing in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 2020 (Fig. 1). It complements similar reviews of the environmental conditions on the Newfoundland and Labrador Shelf and the Scotian Shelf and Gulf of Maine as part of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans' (DFO) Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program (AZMP; see Therriault et al. 1998 for background information on the program, as well as Cyr et al. 2021 and Hebert et al. 2020 for examples of past reviews in other AZMP regions) in support of zonal state of the ocean report provided as a Scientific Advisory Report (DFO 2020). The last detailed report of physical oceanographic conditions in the Gulf of St. Lawrence was produced for the year 2019 (Galbraith et al. 2020).

Some of the variables presented are spatially averaged over distinct regions of the Gulf (Fig. 2) into what will be termed "regional averages". These regions were developed for the Ecosystem approach to fisheries management and differ from those used in previous years. The report uses data obtained from the AZMP, other DFO surveys, and other sources. Environmental variables are usually expressed as anomalies, i.e., deviations from their long-term mean. The long-term mean or normal conditions are calculated for the standard 1991–2020 reference period when possible. This marks a shift from recent reports in which the climatological reference period was 1981–2010. Furthermore, because these series have different units ($^{\circ}\text{C}$, m^3 , m^2 , etc.), each anomaly time series is normalized by dividing by its standard deviation (SD), also calculated for the same reference period. This allows a more direct comparison of the various series. Missing data are represented by grey cells in the tables, values within ± 0.5 SD of the average as white cells, and conditions corresponding to warmer than normal (higher temperatures, reduced ice volumes, reduced cold-water volumes or areas) by more than 0.5 SD as red cells, with more intense reds corresponding to increasingly warmer conditions. Similarly, blue represents colder than normal conditions. Higher than normal freshwater inflow is shown as red, but does not necessarily correspond to warmer-than-normal conditions.

The summertime water column in the Gulf of St. Lawrence consists of three distinct layers: the surface layer, the cold intermediate layer (CIL), and the deeper water layer (Fig. 3). Surface temperatures typically reach maximum values in early to mid-August (Galbraith et al. 2012). Gradual cooling occurs thereafter, and wind forced mixing during the fall leads to a progressively deeper and cooler mixed layer, eventually encompassing the CIL. During winter, the surface layer thickens partly because of buoyancy losses (cooling and reduced runoff) and brine rejection associated with sea-ice formation, but mostly from wind-driven mixing prior to ice formation (Galbraith 2006). The surface winter layer extends to an average depth of 75 m, but may reach >150 m in places such as the Mécatina Trough where near freezing waters (-1.8 to 0°C) from the Labrador shelf entering through the Strait of Belle Isle may extend from the surface to the bottom in depths >200 m (Galbraith 2006). During spring, surface warming, sea-ice melt waters, and continental runoff produce a lower-salinity and higher-temperature surface layer. Underneath this surface layer, cold waters from the previous winter are partly isolated from the atmosphere and form the summer CIL. This layer will persist until the next winter, gradually warming up and deepening during summer (Gilbert and Pettigrew 1997; Cyr et al. 2011) and more rapidly during the fall as vertical mixing intensifies.

This report considers air temperature and freshwater runoff, both significant drivers of the surface layer which is discussed next. Winter sea ice conditions and the winter mixed layer are then presented. The latter is the precursor to the summer CIL, which affects bottom temperatures on the Magdalen Shallows. The deeper waters, mostly isolated from exchanges with the surface, are presented last along with a summary of major oceanographic surveys,

modelling results on currents and transports, and details of observations at high frequency sampling stations.

CLIMATOLOGY PERIOD SHIFT

This year's reports sees the climatological period change from 1981–2010 to 1991–2020, a 10 year shift. The shift follows the World Meteorological Organization standards (World Meteorological Organization 2017) and allows, over subsequent decadal shifts, the comparison over the same climatological period of an increasing number of time series. It has the disadvantage of masking long term trends, including those caused by global warming. In the Gulf of St. Lawrence, the effects of the shift are large for sea surface temperature since the cold 1981–1990 period is removed and the warm 2011–2020 period is added. Previously reported very high anomalies for 2011 will be reduced in this report. Similarly, bottom temperatures have been greatly increasing in the last decade, even exceeding the past known range of variability. Therefore not only has the mean of the climatology changed, but in many cases the variance as well, which also affects normalized anomalies. A good example is the Gulf average temperature at 300 m which was fairly stable (low standard deviation) during the previous 1981–2010 reference period (see later Fig. 48), and has since emerged from past known variability. This means that the 2019 record of 6.5°C reported last year had a temperature anomaly of +1.0°C but a very large normalized anomaly of +6.5 SD against the 1981–2010 climatology. The inclusion of the recent warm decade in the climatology reduced the temperature anomaly only slightly to 0.9°C but greatly reduced the normalized anomaly to +2.1 SD (Fig. 64).

While the environment is often described in terms of anomalies relative to the climatological period in this report, it remains important to look at the long term trends. Therefore rank and series records are also used help to paint a broader picture.

AIR TEMPERATURE

The air temperature data are the second generation of homogenized surface air temperature data, part of the Adjusted and Homogenized Canadian Climate Data (AHCCD), which accounts for shifts due to the relocation of stations, changes in observing practices and automation (Vincent et al. 2012). The monthly air temperature anomalies for several stations around the Gulf are shown in Fig. 4 for 2019 and 2020, as well as the average of all station anomalies.

Fig. 5 shows the annual, winter (December-March), and April-November mean air temperature anomalies averaged over all available stations shown in Fig. 4 since 1873. Record-high annual and winter temperatures occurred in 2010 and record-high April-November temperatures in 2012. Galbraith et al. (2012) found the average April-November air temperature over the Gulf from Environment Canada's National Climate Data and Information Archive (NCDIA) to be a good proxy for May-November sea-surface temperature over the Gulf (but excluding the estuary) and found within the former a warming trend of 0.9°C per century between 1873 and 2011; a similar trend of 1.1°C per century is found here over the selected ACCHD stations between 1873 and 2020 (Fig. 5). The NCDIA December-March air temperatures in the western Gulf were found to be highly correlated ($R^2=0.67$) with sea-ice properties, as well as with winter mixed layer volumes (Galbraith et al. 2010). Galbraith et al. (2013) found slightly higher correlations ($R^2=0.72$) with sea-ice using December-February ACCHD averages, possibly because March temperatures are of less importance during low sea-ice cover since much of the sea-ice cover decrease has occurred much earlier in February.

There were no monthly records set at any station around the Gulf in 2020. Averaged over all stations, air temperatures were mostly near normal in winter, spring and summer but December

was warmest since 2010 (+3.7°C, +1.7 SD) and that pushed the fall average anomaly to well above-normal. Averaged over all stations, the December-March air temperature average (+0.4°C, +0.3 SD), and the April-November average (+0.1°C, +0.2 SD) were near normal, but the annual average was pushed by the December anomaly to above normal (+0.5°C, +0.6 SD) and 8th warmest of the time series.

PRECIPITATION AND FRESHWATER RUNOFF

A freshwater runoff hindcast for the St. Lawrence River is updated using the model and methods described in Lefaivre et al. (2016). Observations of water levels at the Saint-Joseph-de-la-Rive station were used at the downstream boundary of the model. The runoff at the upstream boundary of the model was calculated using the stage-discharge relationships at the outlets of lake Deux-Montagnes and lake Saint-Louis. In addition, a correction was made so that the model minimizes the difference in water level observation at the Varennes station; this station is directly influenced by the exit from both lakes and allows the validation of the upstream flow of the St. Lawrence River. The runoff at Quebec City is extracted from the model at 3 minute intervals, filtered to remove the tidal signal and sub-sampled at noon local time (EST) every day (Fig. 6). To combine it with runoffs downstream to recreate the runoff that feeds the Estuary, the time series is then lagged by 21 days to approximate the time taken to reach the Estuary at the height of the Saguenay mouth (Senneville and Lefaivre 2010, unpublished manuscript), and new monthly means are computed (Fig. 7, lower curve).

A hydrological watershed model was used to estimate the monthly runoff since 1948 for all other major rivers flowing into the Gulf of St. Lawrence, with discharge locations as shown in Fig. 8. The precipitation data (NCEP reanalysis, six hourly intervals) used as input in the model were obtained from the NOAA-CIRES Climate Diagnostics Center (Boulder, Colorado, USA; Kalnay et al. 1996). The data were interpolated to a $\frac{1}{4}^\circ$ resolution grid and the water routed to river mouths using a simple algorithm described here. When air temperatures were below freezing, the water was accumulated as snow in the watershed and later melted as a function of warming temperatures. Water regulation is modelled for three rivers that flow into the estuary (Saguenay, Manicouagan, Outardes) for which the annual runoff is redistributed following the climatology of the true regulated runoffs for 12 months thereafter. Runoffs were summed for each region shown and the climatology established for the 1991–2020 period. The waters that flow into the Estuary (Fig. 8) were added to the lagged St. Lawrence River runoff (above) to produce the RIVSUM II index (Fig. 7, upper curve). In 2020, the RIVSUM II spring freshet was slightly earlier than normal, creating a positive anomaly for the month of April.

Monthly anomalies of the summed runoffs for 2019 and 2020 are shown in Fig. 9. Rivers other than the St. Lawrence contribute about $5,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ runoff to the Estuary, the equivalent of 40% of the St. Lawrence River, while the other tributaries distributed along the border of the GSL provide an additional $4,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in freshwater runoff to the system. River regulation has a strong impact on the relative contributions of sources. For example, in May 2015 the higher-than-average river runoff into the Estuary (an effect of the heavy precipitation in 2014 and river regulation) was almost as important as the below normal St. Lawrence runoff (Galbraith et al. 2017). The long-term time series are shown, summed by large basins, in Fig. 10. The annual average runoff of the St. Lawrence River at Québec City and RIVSUM II both show a general downward trend from the mid-1970s until 2001, an upwards trend between 2001 and 2009 followed by another since 2012 (Fig. 10). In 2020, the annual runoff was above normal at $14,100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (+1.2 SD) for the St. Lawrence River and $19,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (+0.9 SD) for the RIVSUM II index.

SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY

The seasonal cycle of weekly averaged sea surface temperature over the Gulf of St. Lawrence is illustrated in Fig. 11 using data streams that are described below. Galbraith et al. (2012) have shown that Gulf-averaged monthly air temperature and SST climatologies match up quite well with SST lagging air temperature by half a month, and this is shown to remain true with climatologies updated to 1991–2020 in Fig. 11. The climatological maximum sea-surface temperatures are reached during the first and second week of August but that can vary by a few weeks from year to year. The maximum surface temperature averages to 16.1°C over the Gulf during the first and second week of August (1991–2020), but there are spatial differences: temperatures in the Northumberland Strait region are the warmest in the Gulf, averaging 18.8°C over that area, and the coolest are at the head of the St. Lawrence Estuary (7.0°C) and in upwelling areas along the lower north shore. The annual observations will be explored below.

SHIPBOARD THERMOLISANOGRAPH

The surface layer temperature and salinity conditions of the Gulf are monitored by various complementary methods. The first is the shipboard thermosalinograph network (Galbraith et al. 2002), which consists of temperature-salinity sensors (SBE-21; Sea-Bird Electronics Inc., Bellevue, WA) that have been installed on various ships starting with the commercial ship Cicero of Oceanex Inc. in 1999 (retired in 2006) and on the Cabot from 2006 to fall 2013. The Oceanex Connaigra, was outfitted with a thermosalinograph in early 2015. Fig. 12 shows a mean annual cycle of water temperature at a depth of 8 m along the Montréal to St. John's shipping route based on thermosalinograph data collected from 2000 to 2020. The data were averaged for each day of the year at intervals of 0.1 degree of longitude to create a climatological composite along the ship track. The most striking climatological feature is the area at the head of the Laurentian Trough (69.5°W), where strong vertical mixing leads to cold summer water temperatures (around 5°C to 6°C and sometimes lower) and winter temperatures that are always above freezing (see also Fig. 11). The climatological cycle shows the progression to winter conditions, first reaching near-freezing temperatures in the Estuary and then progressing eastward with time, usually reaching Cabot Strait by the end of the winter (but no further).

THERMOGRAPH NETWORK

The second data source is the Maurice Lamontagne Institute thermograph network (Pettigrew et al. 2016, 2017), which consists of a number of stations with moored instruments recording water temperature every 5 to 30 minutes (Fig. 13). Most instruments are installed on Coast Guard buoys that are deployed in the ice-free season, but a few stations are monitored year-round. The data are typically only available after the instruments are recovered except for oceanographic buoys that transmit data in real-time. Some station data had not yet arrived for processing at the time of the writing and are missing from this year's report.

Thermograph network observations are examined as anomalies relative to daily average temperatures (or salinities) calculated using all available years of data for each day of the year at each station and depth (Fig. 14 to Fig. 17). The seasonal cycle of near-surface temperature is measured by shallow instruments, while Cold Intermediate Layer warming from spring to fall is captured by instruments moored between 30 and 120 m depth. Monthly averages are also shown, with the magnitude of their anomaly colour-coded. Monthly shallow-water anomalies were fairly consistent across all stations of each of the regions.

The Saguenay Sill 1 station is located just inside of the first sill connecting the Saguenay Fjord to the St. Lawrence Estuary. The salinity of the water (hence its density) determines the type of

circulation that renews basin waters. These time series extend those first presented in Belzile et al. (2016) and Galbraith et al. (2018). A second mooring was deployed just inside the inner and deepest basin of the Fjord (Saguenay Sill 3). The sharp decreases in temperature in December, January and February (Fig. 14) as well as the increases in salinity in December and February (Fig. 17) correspond to deep renewal events.

The Île Shag (10 m) station shows bottom temperatures close to Îles-de-la-Madeleine that are important to the lobster fishery. April and May temperatures were near normal and below normal, respectively, at this station (Fig. 16). The Île Shag panel shows with a red line spanning historical dates when spring temperature last increased over 1.5°C, a temperature associated with increased lobster mobility, as well as the mean date (April 27th) plus and minus 0.5 SD (4 days). In 2020, this crossing occurred on April 25th at a near normal day of year. It can take up to two weeks for waters to warm at 30 m starting from the time recorded at 10 m.

AVHRR REMOTE SENSING BLEND

The satellite-based sea surface temperature product used since last year's report blends data from Pathfinder version 5.3 (4 km resolution for 1982–2020; Casey et al. 2010), Maurice Lamontagne Institute (MLI; 1.1 km resolution for 1985–2013) and Bedford Institute of Oceanography (BIO; 1.5 km resolution for 1997–2020) and monthly temperature composites are calculated from averaged available daily anomalies to which monthly climatological average temperatures are added. See Galbraith et al. (2021) for full details of the processing.

Monthly mean sea-surface temperatures from AVHRR imagery are presented as maps (Fig. 18), temperature anomaly maps against the 1985–2010 climatology (Fig. 19), spatial averages and anomalies against the 1991–2020 climatology (Fig. 20 and Fig. 21); to convert Fig. 19 to using a 1991–2020 climatology, new pixel-level monthly climatologies will need to be rebuilt that combine two AVHRR products. Since the regions and climatological period are new for this year, we also include the time series since 1982 (Fig. 22 and Fig. 23).

Sea-surface temperature monthly climatologies and time series were also extracted for more specific regions of the Gulf. The Magdalen Shallows, excluding Northumberland Strait, is divided into western and eastern areas as shown in Fig. 24. The monthly average SST for the Magdalen Shallows as a whole is repeated in Fig. 25 along with averages for the western and eastern areas. Climatologies differ by roughly 0.5°C to 1°C between the western and eastern regions.

Seasonal trends in relation to air temperature are examined by first displaying weekly averaged AVHRR SST in the GSL for all years between 1982 and 2020 (Fig. 26) with years on the x-axis and weeks of the year on the y-axis (See Galbraith and Larouche 2013 for a full description). Isotherms show the first and last occurrences of temperature averages of 12°C over the years, chosen to be representative of spring (and fall) transitions to (and from) typical summer temperatures. Although the selected temperature is arbitrary, the results that follow are not particularly sensitive to the exact temperature chosen because the surface mixed layer tends to warm and cool linearly in spring and fall (e.g. Fig. 11). A 10°C threshold is also used to demonstrate this. The interannual variability in the time of year when the 12°C threshold is crossed-correlated with June-July average air temperature for the summer onset (0.9 week sooner per 1°C increase; $R^2=0.61$) and with September average air temperature for the fall (0.6 week later per 1°C increase; $R^2=0.48$). These air temperature averages, shown in Fig. 26, can be used as proxies prior to 1982 and for climate change predictions. The implication is that the duration of the Gulf of Lawrence warm season has increased and will increase by about 2 weeks for each 1°C of seasonal warming (e.g. associated with anthropogenic climate change).

SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE IN 2020

Thermosalinograph data show below average temperatures by mid-November 2019 and near-freezing surface layer conditions first appearing earlier than normal, in mid December 2019 (Fig. 12), driving early onset of sea ice in the Estuary and Northwest Gulf. Near-freezing waters were also observed out to Port aux Basques from mid February to late March. Temperatures were above average in the Estuary by April. Temperatures were generally below average in May and above average from mid-June to late August when the ship went into dry dock. December 2020 temperatures were above average.

The summer pattern of sea surface temperature observed by the thermosalinograph is in agreement with remote sensing data (Fig. 20), with most regions experiencing below normal temperatures in May and above normal in July and August, then followed by a sudden drop that leaves the overall seasonal average for the Gulf to be near normal (-0.2°C , -0.3 SD) in spite of an above normal seasonal August maximum ($+0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+0.9$ SD). This pattern is consistent with the earlier than normal warming to summer temperatures by 1.2 weeks (-1.3 SD) and cooling by 1.5 weeks (-1.5 SD) (Fig. 26). Regional monthly records occurred for warmest July in the Estuary and Northwest Gulf and coldest September in the same two regions.

In the Estuary, the seasonal maximum was reached 2 weeks early in mid July when the weekly average temperature reached 15.6°C , an anomaly of $+4.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for that week and much warmer than the climatological high expected in late July of 11.4°C . It was the warmest week of the AVHRR record for the Estuary (1982-2020). This is best illustrated by Fig. 11. Typically, the upwelling and mixing that occurs at the head of the Laurentian Channel keeps the Estuary surface waters cool. This process was severely limited in July 2020 and the Estuary SST average rose to its weekly record maximum, and waters were as warm as the Gulf average. It appears likely that this was due to an unusual wind pattern over the Estuary. A commercial diver found water temperature off of Baie Comeau to be as high as 9°C at 30 m, a depth usually associated with the Cold Intermediate Layer¹. Winds were unusually from the East, persistent and strong during the period, thus favorable to downwelling along the Estuary north shore and perhaps entraining warm waters above the upwelling at the Head of the Laurentian Channel. As soon as this wind pattern stopped, the surface temperatures decreased.

The second unusual event in 2020 was the early fall cooling that began in late August that gave record cold temperatures in September and earlier than normal fall cooling. The period coincided with strong winds and SST decreased below air temperature (Fig. 11) and then remained fairly constant until the air temperature fall cooling caught up in early October. We thus infer that the sudden decrease was caused by mixing of the water column and redistribution of heat rather than heat loss to the atmosphere. The Viking buoys AZMP-ESG (East Southern Gulf) and PMZA-VAS (Shediac Valley) temperature-salinity profilers recorded mixing down to depths of 50-60 m (not shown).

SEA ICE

Ice cover area, duration and volume are estimated from ice cover products obtained from the Canadian Ice Service (CIS), further processed into a regular grid that are used in analyses. These are weekly Geographic Information System (GIS) charts covering the period 1969–2020 and daily charts covering the period 2009–2020. All charts were gridded on a 0.01° latitude by

¹ <https://ici.radio-canada.ca/nouvelle/1720796/temperature-elevee-saint-laurent-cote-nord-plongeur-vent-fleuve-golfe>

0.015° longitude grid (approximately 1 km resolution). Thickness (and therefore volume) are estimated from stages of ice growth from new ice (5 cm), nilas (5 cm), grey ice (12.5 cm), grey-white ice (22.5 cm), thin first year ice (50 cm), medium first year ice (95 cm) and thick first year ice (160 cm). Prior to 1983, the CIS reported ice categories into fewer classifications using a single category of first year ice (≥ 30 cm) with a suggested average thickness of 65 cm. We have found this value to lead to underestimates of the seasonal maximum thickness and volume based on high inter-annual correlations obtained between the estimated volume and area of the weekly seasonal maximums. The comparison pre- and post-1983 provided an estimate of 85 cm in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and of 95 cm on the Newfoundland and Labrador Shelf. To avoid a spatial discontinuity and preferring slightly underestimating ice volume in the northeast Gulf rather than over-estimating it everywhere else, we choose to set it at 85 cm.

Several products were computed to describe the sea-ice cover interannual variability: day of first and last occurrence and duration maps (Fig. 27) and regional extreme values (Fig. 28); distribution of ice thickness during the week of maximum volume (Fig. 29, upper panels) and maximum thickness reached at any week during the season (Fig. 29, lower panels); daily evolution of the estimated sea-ice volume in relation to the climatology and historical extremes (Fig. 30); estimated seasonal maximum ice volumes within the Gulf as well as on the Scotian Shelf (Fig. 31); time series of seasonal maximum ice volume, area (excluding thin new ice) and ice season duration in relation with December-to-March air temperature anomaly (Fig. 32). The durations shown in Fig. 28 and Fig. 32 are different products. The first corresponds to the number of weeks where the volume of ice anywhere within the region exceeded 5% of the climatological maximum, while the second is the average duration at every pixel of Fig. 27, which is much shorter than the first.

There has been a declining trend in ice cover severity since 1990 with rebounds in 2003 and 2014 (Fig. 32). The correlation between annual maximum ice volume (including the cover present on the Scotian Shelf) and the December-February air temperature averaged over five Western Gulf stations (Sept-Îles, Mont-Joli, Gaspé, Charlottetown and Îles-de-la-Madeleine) accounted for 72% of the variance using the 1969–2012 time series (Galbraith et al. 2013). Fig. 32 shows a similar comparison using ice volume and the ACCHD December-to-March air temperature anomaly from Fig. 5 yielding $R^2 = 0.73$. The correlations between air temperature and the ice parameters season duration and area are also very high ($R^2 = 0.79$ – 0.82). Correlation coefficients are slightly higher when using January to February air temperatures, perhaps because March air temperatures have no effect on ice cover that has almost disappeared by then during very mild winters. Sensitivity of the ice cover to air temperature increase (e.g. through climate change) can be estimated using 1969–2020 co-variations between winter air temperature and sea-ice parameters, which indicate losses of 18 km³, 31,000 km² and 13 days of sea-ice season for each 1°C increase in winter air temperature.

Ice typically forms first in December in the St. Lawrence estuary and in shallow waters along New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island and the lower north shore and melts last in the northeast Gulf where the ice season duration tends to be longest apart from shallow bays elsewhere (Fig. 27). Offshore sea ice is typically produced in the northern parts of the Gulf and drifts towards Îles-de-la-Madeleine and Cabot Strait during the ice season.

In 2020, the sea-ice cover formed a little earlier than normal in the Estuary, the Western portion of the Gulf and along the coast of the lower north shore, but later than normal between Anticosti Island and the coast of Newfoundland (Fig. 27). Some ice formed along the length of the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia. Ice volume progressed about 1 SD below normal until mid-March when it dropped rapidly (Fig. 30). The below normal seasonal maximum ice volume of 38 km³ (-0.7 SD) occurred the week of March 2nd (Fig. 29). The area-weighted duration of 58 days was overall normal (0.0 SD), and the seasonal maximum area was near normal (-0.2 SD; Fig. 32).

The area and duration were consistent with the near normal winter air temperatures ($+0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+0.3$ SD) and the maximum volume slightly smaller than perhaps expected based on air temperature. In the 11 year span since 2010, 7 of the 11 lowest maximum ice volumes of the time series have occurred (Fig. 32), although this does not include 2020 (ranking 13th). While some ice appeared briefly along the southern coast of Nova Scotia, a below normal volume of ice was exported from the Gulf of St. Lawrence onto the Scotian Shelf in 2020 (Fig. 29 to Fig. 31).

WINTER WATER MASSES

A wintertime survey of the Gulf of St. Lawrence waters (typically 0–200 m) has been undertaken in early March since 1996, typically using a Canadian Coast Guard helicopter but from Canadian Coast Guard ships in 2016 and 2017. The survey, sampling methods, and results of the cold-water volume analysis in the Gulf and the estimate of the water volume advected into the Gulf via the Strait of Belle Isle over the winter are described in Galbraith (2006) and in Galbraith et al. (2006). Fig. 33 and Fig. 34 show gridded interpolations of near-surface temperature, temperature difference above freezing, salinity, cold layer thickness and bottom contacts, and thickness of the Labrador Shelf water intrusion for 2020 as well as climatological means.

The March surface mixed layer is usually very close (within 0.1°C) to the freezing point in most regions of the Gulf but thickness of the surface layer varies, leaving variability in the cold-water volume between mild and severe winters rather than in temperature. One exception was 2010 when, for the first time since the inception of the winter survey, the mixed layer was on average 1°C above freezing. During typical winters, surface waters in the temperature range of $\sim 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ to -1°C are only found from the northeast side of Cabot Strait spreading into the Gulf. Some of these warm waters presumably enter the Gulf during winter and flow northward along the west coast of Newfoundland, however it is also possible that local waters could have simply not cooled close to freezing. Conditions in March 2020 were similar to this pattern (Fig. 33), with the area with slightly warmer surface waters absent of sea-ice cover (Fig. 29).

Near-freezing waters with salinities of around 32 are responsible for the (local) formation of the CIL since that is roughly the salinity at the temperature minimum during summer. These are coded in green-blue in the salinity panel of Fig. 33 and are typically found to the north and east of Anticosti Island. Surface salinities were only higher than the climatology in this part of the Gulf during the winter of 2020, associated with Labrador Shelf waters over Beaugé Bank (in dark blue).

Near-freezing waters with salinity >32.35 (colour-coded in violet) are considered to be too saline to have been formed from waters originating within the Gulf (Galbraith 2006) and are presumed to have been advected from the Labrador Shelf through the Strait of Belle Isle. These high-salinity waters were not present at the surface in March 2020 (Fig. 33). A T-S water mass criterion from Galbraith (2006) was used to identify intruding Labrador Shelf waters that have exhibited no evidence of mixing with warm and saline deep Gulf water. These waters were present as an intrusive tongue throughout of Mécatina Trough in March 2020 (top-right panel of Fig. 34). The recent history of Labrador Shelf water intrusions is shown in Fig. 35, where its volume is shown as well as the fraction it represents of all the cold-water volume in the Gulf. This volume was near normal in March 2020 at 1500 km^3 ($+0.1$ SD), and represented 12% (-0.1 SD) of the cold water ($T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$) in the Gulf. The near-bottom thermograph in the Strait of Belle Isle (Fig. 15) showed that water temperature increased above -1°C on May 5th 2020, earlier than normal by 23 days (-0.9 SD) and indicating inflow of Labrador Shelf Water for less time than normal after the March survey.

The cold mixed layer depth typically reaches about 75 m in the Gulf and is usually delimited by the -1°C isotherm because the mixed layer is typically near-freezing and deeper waters are much warmer (Galbraith 2006). In March 2010 and 2011 much of the mixed layer was warmer than -1°C such that the criterion of $T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ was also introduced (see middle panels of Fig. 34). The cold surface layer is the product of local formation as well as cold waters advected from the Labrador Shelf, and can consist either of a single water mass or of layers of increasing salinity with depth. This layer reaches the bottom in many regions of the Gulf, with interannual variability in whether the deepest parts of the Magdalen Shallows or of Mécatina Trough are reached (see bottom panels of Fig. 34). The thickness of the winter layer is usually greatest north and northeast of Anticosti Island, and the doming of the Anticosti Gyre isopycnals appears in the climatology as a thinner center part. In 2020, the spatial pattern resembled the climatology.

Integrating the cold layer depth over the area of the Gulf (excluding the Estuary and the Strait of Belle Isle) yields a cold-water ($< -1^{\circ}\text{C}$) volume of $13,100 \text{ km}^3$ in 2020, near normal at $+0.4 \text{ SD}$ above the 1996–2020 average. The interannual variability of winter volumes of water colder than 0 and 1°C are shown in Fig. 36. The mixed layer volume only increases to $15,000 \text{ km}^3$ when water temperatures $< 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ are considered which is also near normal at $+0.2 \text{ SD}$. This last volume of cold water corresponds to 45% of the total water volume of the Gulf ($33,500 \text{ km}^3$, excluding the Estuary).

COLD INTERMEDIATE LAYER

FORECAST FROM THE MARCH 2020 SURVEY

The summer CIL minimum temperature index (Gilbert and Pettigrew 1997) has been found to be highly correlated with the Gulf (excluding the estuary) volume of cold water ($< -1^{\circ}\text{C}$) measured the previous March when much of the mixed layer is near-freezing (Galbraith 2006; updated relation in right panel of Fig. 36). This is expected because the CIL is the remnant of the winter cold surface layer. A measurement of the volume of cold water present in March is therefore a valuable tool for forecasting the coming summer CIL conditions. Most of the winter mixed layer was cold (below -1°C) except for the southern half of coastal Newfoundland waters. The volume of the surface mixed layer colder than -1°C was near normal at $13,100 \text{ km}^3$, representing 39% of all waters of the Gulf. The Cold Intermediate Layer for summer 2020 was therefore forecasted to be warmer than in 2019, with a Gilbert and Pettigrew (1997) index of around -0.34°C compared to -0.45°C in 2018 (Galbraith et al. 2020). The actual outcome is described next.

AUGUST–SEPTEMBER CIL

The CIL minimum temperature, thickness and volume for $T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $< 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ were estimated using temperature profiles from all sources for August and September. Most data are from the multi-species surveys in September for the Magdalen Shallows and August for the rest of the Gulf. Using all available temperature profiles, spatial temperature interpolations of the Gulf were done for each 1 m depth increment, with the interpolated field bound between the minimum and maximum values observed within each of the different regions of the Gulf (Fig. 2) to avoid spurious extrapolations. The CIL thickness at each grid point is simply the sum of depth bins below the threshold temperature, and the CIL minimum temperature is only defined at grid points where temperature rises by at least 0.5°C at depths greater than that of the minimum, or if the grid point minimum temperature is below the CIL spatial average of the Gulf.

Fig. 37 shows the gridded interpolation of the CIL thickness $< 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $< 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the CIL minimum temperature for August–September 2020 as well their 1991–2020 climatology (1994–

2020 for Mécatina Trough). Similar maps were produced for all years back to 1971 (although some years have no data in some regions), allowing the calculation of volumes for each region for each year as well as the climatologies shown on the left side of Fig. 37. The 2020 CIL temperature minimum distribution was warmer than the climatology, and this was more pronounced at the extremities of the Northwest Gulf and Cabot Strait.

The time series of the regional August–September CIL volumes are shown in Fig. 38 (for $<0^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $<1^{\circ}\text{C}$). Most regions showed strong decreases in CIL volumes in 2020 compared to 2019. Fig. 39 shows the Gulf total volume of CIL water ($<0^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $<1^{\circ}\text{C}$) and the average CIL minimum core temperature from the August–September interpolated grids (e.g., Fig. 37). The CIL areal minimum temperature average and volume shown in Fig. 39 exclude data from Mécatina Trough which has very different water masses from the rest of the Gulf; it is influenced by inflow through the Strait of Belle Isle and is therefore not indicative of the climate in the rest of the Gulf. The CIL volumes as defined by $T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $< 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ decreased significantly (to -0.7 and -1.1 SD) compared to 2019 conditions ($+0.3$ and $+0.2$ SD).

The time series of the CIL regional average minimum core temperatures are shown in Fig. 40. Most regions showed an increase in minimum core temperature compared to 2019. The 2020 average temperature minimum (excluding Mecatina Trough, the Strait of Belle Isle and the Magdalen Shallows) was above normal at 0.2°C ($+0.7$ SD), an increase of 0.25°C from 2019, and is shown in Fig. 39 (bottom panel, green line). The average difference between this CIL index and the Gilbert and Pettigrew (1997) index (described below) is 0.27°C because of the warming between mid-June and the August survey. After adjusting for timing, this 2020 index would correspond to a Gilbert and Pettigrew (1997) index of -0.1°C after rounding to the nearest decimal.

NOVEMBER CIL CONDITIONS IN THE ST. LAWRENCE ESTUARY

Since 2006, the AZMP November survey usually provides a good number of conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) casts in the St. Lawrence, allowing a good estimation of CIL properties in the Estuary. The data show the temporal warming (Fig. 40) and thinning (Fig. 38) of the CIL since the August survey. Fig. 40 shows that the fairly rapid increase of the CIL minimum temperature occurring between August and November is fairly constant inter-annually in spite of the differences in August temperature. Results indicate a thinner CIL in the fall of 2020 than a year earlier, with higher minimum core temperature.

SEASONAL MEAN CIL INDEX

The Gilbert and Pettigrew (1997) CIL index is defined as the mean of the CIL core temperatures observed between 1 May and 30 September of each year, adjusted to 15 July with a region-dependant warming rate. It was updated using all available temperature profiles measured within the Gulf between May and September inclusively since 1947 (black line of the bottom panel of Fig. 39). As expected, the CIL minimum core temperature interpolated to 15 July is almost always colder than the estimate based on August and September data for which no temporal corrections were made. This is because the CIL is eroded over the summer and therefore its minimum core warms over time.

This CIL index for summer 2020 was -0.21°C , near normal at $+0.4$ SD. The 0.24°C increase from the summer 2019 CIL index is consistent with the decrease in CIL volume between August 2019 and 2020 discussed above and the decrease of 0.25°C in the areal average of the minimum temperature in August. The warm winter conditions from 2010 to 2012 led to CIL indices that were still far below the record highs observed in the 1960s and 1980s. The earlier

CIL temperature minimums will need to be re-examined to confirm that they were calculated using data with sufficient vertical resolution to correctly resolve the core minimum temperature.

SUMMARY OF CIL CONDITIONS

As a summary, Fig. 41 shows selected time series of winter and summertime CIL conditions (June and September bottom temperatures also related to the CIL are outlined below) and highlights the strong correlations between these various time series. Conditions related to the CIL were near to warmer than normal in 2020.

BOTTOM WATER TEMPERATURES ON THE MAGDALEN SHALLOWS

A long-standing assessment survey covering the Magdalen Shallows has taken place in June for mackerel assessments and was since merged with the June AZMP survey. This survey provides good coverage of the temperature conditions that are greatly influenced by the cold intermediate layer that reaches the bottom at roughly half of the surface area at this time of the year. Unfortunately, the June 2020 survey was cancelled due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, some historical results are presented.

Near-surface waters warm quickly in June, mid-way between the winter minimum and the annual maximum in early August. This can introduce a bias if the survey dates are not the same each year. To account for this, the seasonal warming observed at the Shediac Valley AZMP monitoring station was evaluated by Galbraith and Grégoire (2015). A linear regression was performed of temperature versus time for each meter of the water column for each year with monitoring data at Shediac Valley between May and July. Visual inspection showed that the depth-dependent warming rate was fairly constant for all years and an average was computed for every depth. Warming is maximal at the surface at 18°C per 100 days and, in spite of some uncertainties between 30 and 55 m, decreases almost proportionally with depth to reach 2°C per 100 days at 40 m, followed by a further linear decrease to reach 1°C per 100 days at 82 m.

All available temperature profiles taken in June from a given year are binned at 1 m depth intervals (or interpolated if the resolution is too coarse) and then adjusted according to the sampling date to offset them to June 15th according to the depth-dependent warming rate extracted from Shediac Valley monitoring data. An interpolation scheme is used to estimate temperature at each 1 m depth layer on a 2 km resolution grid.

Bottom temperature is then estimated at each point of the grids constructed from the June survey by looking up the interpolated temperature at the depth level corresponding to a bathymetry grid provided by the Canadian Hydrographic Service with some corrections applied (Dutil et al. 2012). The method is fully described in Tamdrari et al. (2012). A climatology was constructed by averaging all available temperature grids between 1991 and 2020, and anomaly grids were then computed for each year based on that climatology.

The same method just described was applied using the available CTD data from August and September, mostly from the multispecies surveys for the northern Gulf in August and for the Magdalen Shallows in September. For the first time, we also included temperature casts from a trawl mounted SBE19plus that yields data at a vertical resolution of 5 m. These data were used for the August 2019 and 2020 northern Gulf surveys and will be added to past years, back to 2009, in later reports. Bottom temperatures were above the climatological mean almost everywhere on the Magdalen Shallows in September 2020 (Fig. 42).

Time series of the bottom area covered by water in various temperature intervals were estimated from the gridded data for the June surveys as well as for the September multispecies survey on the Magdalen Shallows (Fig. 43). The time series of areas of the Magdalen Shallows

covered by water colder than 0, 1, 2, and 3°C in June and September are also shown in Fig. 41 as part of the CIL summary. In September 2020, none of the bottom was covered by water with temperatures <0°C and the area covered by water with temperatures <1°C decreased to a near record low (-2.4 SD) below half of the climatological mean. None of the bottom was covered by water with temperatures <1°C on the Eastern side of the Shallows. At higher threshold temperatures, areas with T < 2°C and < 3°C were below normal as well (warmer conditions). In spite of the caveat that the survey was done about one week later than usual, extending to October 5th, and bottom temperatures increase with time at CIL depths, it is likely that the strong wind mixing that occurred in late August is responsible for these conditions.

DEEP WATERS (>150 M)

The deeper water layer (>150 m) below the CIL originates at the entrance of the Laurentian Channel at the continental shelf break and circulates towards the heads of Laurentian, Anticosti, and Esquiman channels without much exchange with the upper layers. The layer from 150 to 540 m is characterized by temperatures between 1 and >7°C and salinities between 32.5 and 35 (except for Mécatina Trough where near-freezing waters may fill the basin to 235 m in winter and usually persist throughout the summer). Decadal changes in temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen of the deep waters entering the Gulf at the continental shelf are related to the varying proportion of the source cold–fresh and oxygen-rich Labrador Current water and warm–salty and oxygen-poor slope water (McLellan 1957, Lauzier and Trites 1958, Gilbert et al. 2005). The deeper waters travel from the mouth of the Laurentian Channel to the Estuary in roughly three to four years (Gilbert 2004), decreasing in dissolved oxygen from in situ respiration and oxidation of organic material as they progress to the channel heads. The lowest levels of dissolved oxygen (below 20% saturation in recent years) are therefore found in the deep waters at the head of the Laurentian Channel in the Estuary.

BOTTOM WATER TEMPERATURES IN DEEP WATERS

We refer again to the bottom water temperature map constructed above (Fig. 42), combining all available CTD data from August and September, mostly from the multispecies surveys for the northern Gulf in August and for the Magdalen Shallows in September. All of the Gulf deep bottom water temperatures were above normal, with most areas of Central Gulf, Anticosti and Esquiman Channels, and northwest Gulf above 6°C, and some areas of Anticosti and Esquiman Channels above 7°C for the first time of our time series.

As done for the Magdalen Shallows (Fig. 43), time series of the bottom area covered by water in various temperature intervals were also estimated for the other regions of the Gulf based on August–September temperature profile data (Fig. 44). The figures show compression of the bottom habitat area in the temperature range of 5–6°C in 1992, offset by the larger colder 4–5°C habitat. In 2012, a return of >6°C temperatures to the sea floor began. By 2015, it had caused a large decrease of the 5–6°C habitat in the Northeast Gulf, this time replaced by a warmer 6–7°C habitat. The 6–7°C area then increased sharply in Central and northwest Gulf in 2017, and increased sharply again in northwest Gulf in 2018 and again in 2019. In 2020, the >6°C habitat was at a record high in the Northwest Gulf, the Northeast Gulf, and in Centre and Cabot Strait, and some 7–8°C habitat appeared for the first time in the Northeast Gulf.

DEEP TEMPERATURE MAXIMUM

The warm waters found at the bottom of the Laurentian Channel and elsewhere are associated with the deep temperature maximum evident in the temperature profiles in these areas (e.g. Fig. 3). The recent interannual progression to current conditions of the deep temperature maximum

is shown on Fig. 45. Temperatures above 7°C have been recorded since 2012 in the Gulf near Cabot Strait and occupied a large area in the Northeast Gulf for the first time in 2020. The Gulf-wide average and regional areal averages of the deep temperature maximum are shown in Fig. 46. The Gulf-wide average was at a series record high in 2020, at 6.7°C, and reached a record average of 7.7°C in Cabot Strait.

TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY ANNUAL MEANS

Monthly temperature and salinity averages were constructed for various depths using a method used by Petrie et al. (1996) but for the geographical regions shown in Fig. 2. In this method, all available data obtained during the same month within a region and close to each depth bin are first averaged together for each year. Monthly averages from all available years from 1991 to 2020 and their standard deviations are then computed for climatologies. This two-fold averaging process reduces the bias that occurs when the numbers of profiles in any given year are different. These monthly averages were further averaged into regional yearly time series that are presented in Fig. 46 (temperature) and Fig. 47 (salinity) for 200 and 300 m. The 300 m observations suggest that temperature anomalies are advected up-channel from Cabot Strait to the northwestern Gulf in two to three years, consistent with the findings of Gilbert (2004), while variability at 200 m often appears or disappears everywhere at the same time, suggesting vertical changes. The regional averages are weighted into a Gulf-wide average in accordance to the surface area of each region at the specified depth. These Gulf-wide averages are shown for 150, 200 and 300 m in Fig. 46, Fig. 47 and Fig. 48. Linear trends in temperature and salinity at 300 m of 2.3°C and 0.3 per century, respectively, are shown on Fig. 48 (see also Galbraith et al. 2013 for other long term trends), although the temperature increase has been of 1.3°C per decade since 2009 (5.6 times the rate of change).

In 2020, the gulf-wide average salinities increased, reaching a series record at 300 m of 34.84 (Fig. 47 and Fig. 48). Gulf-wide average temperature was above normal at 150 m (3.7°C, +1.6 SD, 3rd highest of the time series) and hit new series record highs (since 1915) of 5.7°C (+1.9 SD) at 200 m, 6.6°C (+2.5 SD) at 250 m and at 6.8°C (+2.7 SD) at 300 m. At 300 m, temperature increased to regional record highs in all deep regions of the Gulf: Estuary (5.9°C, +2.3 SD), Northwest Gulf (6.4°C, +2.7 SD), Central Gulf (6.8°C, +2.6 SD) and Cabot Strait (7.2°C, +2.5 SD).

The warm anomalies present since 2010 at Cabot Strait have been progressing up the channel towards the Estuary since then, but waters that have followed into the gulf have also remained very warm and even increased in temperature such that the average overall temperature may continue to increase (Fig. 45). While the potential for still warmer waters entering the Gulf exists, as evidenced by average temperatures observed at the Laurentian Mouth in 2020 of 10.6°C at 200 m (Fig. 46), a record high since the series began in 1914, the average at 300 m decreased to 7.3°C in 2020. This was based on only two casts, however, which is much fewer than usual.

SEASONAL AND REGIONAL AVERAGE TEMPERATURE STRUCTURE

In order to show the seasonal progression of the vertical temperature structure, regional averages are shown in Fig. 49 to Fig. 51 based on the profiles collected during the March helicopter survey, the August multi-species survey (September survey for the Magdalen Shallows), and the October-November AZMP survey. All additional archived CTD data for those months were also used. The temperature scale was adjusted to highlight the CIL and deep-water features; the display of surface temperature variability is best suited to other tools such as remote sensing and thermographs. Average discrete depth layer conditions are summarized for the months of the 2019 and 2020 AZMP surveys in Fig. 52 for temperature and in Fig. 53 for

salinity and 0-50 m stratification. For each survey the anomalies were computed relative to monthly temperature and salinity 1991–2020 climatologies calculated for each region, shown in grey as the mean value \pm 0.5 SD in Fig. 49 to Fig. 51.

Caution is needed in interpreting mean profiles. Indeed, regional averaging of winter profiles does not work very well in the northeast Gulf because very different water masses are present in the area such as the cold Labrador Shelf intrusion with saltier and warmer deeper waters of Anticosti Channel or Esquiman Channel. Similarly, the deeper portions of the Magdalen Shallows averaging region consists of two widely separated zones to the north and southeast.

The highlights of March water temperatures shown in Fig. 49 include the previously discussed near-normal winter mixed layer depth. Waters in the deepest parts of Mécatina Trough were near-freezing, with the Labrador Shelf intrusion occupying the entire water column.

Temperatures in August 2020 were characterized by CIL conditions only just thinner and warmer than normal, but leading to the thermocline higher in the water column in the Northeast Gulf. By the fall, this condition was apparent throughout the Gulf, most notably in Cabot Strait where the temperature reached close to 8°C between 200 and 250 m. This was the first time this occurred, forcing a scale change to be made to this figure.

Deep-water temperatures were above normal in all regions along the Laurentian Channel, with most regions showing increases compared with 2019 conditions at the depth of the temperature maximum (200 to >250 m), most notably in Cabot Strait.

CURRENTS AND TRANSPORTS

Currents and transports are derived from a numerical model of the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Scotian Shelf, and Gulf of Maine. The model is prognostic, i.e., it allows for evolving temperature and salinity fields. It has a spatial resolution of 1/12° with 46 depth-levels in the vertical. The atmospheric forcing is taken from the Global Environmental Multiscale (GEM) model running at the Canadian Meteorological Center (CMC). Freshwater runoff is obtained from observed data and the hydrological model, as discussed in the freshwater runoff section, but does not use the new daily calculation of runoff at Québec City. The spring freshet may therefore differ from what was described in an earlier section of this document. A simulation was run for 2006–2020 from which transports were calculated. The reader is reminded that the results outlined below are not measurements but simulations and improvements in the model may lead to changes in the transport values.

Fig. 54 to Fig. 56 show seasonal depth-averaged currents for 0–20 m, 20–100 m, and 100 m to the bottom for 2019. Currents are strongest in the surface mixed layer, generally 0–20 m, except in winter months when the 20–100 m averages are almost as high, and the 100 m to bottom averages are still much higher than during other seasons (note the different scale for this depth). Currents are also strongest along the slopes of the deep channels. The Anticosti Gyre (Fig. 1) is usually evident but strongest during winter months, when it even extends strongly into the bottom-average currents (Fig. 54). In 2020, the Gaspé Current was slightly shifted along the north shore of the estuary in winter but was stable along the south shore for the rest of the year, combining with the Anticosti Gyre and then along the Laurentian Channel slope. The branch that runs across the Magdalen Shallows appeared to be weaker than usual.

Monthly averaged transports across seven sections of the Gulf of St. Lawrence are shown in Fig. 57 for sections with estuarine circulation, and in Fig. 58 for sections where only net transports are relevant. In Fig. 57, the net transport integrates both up and downstream circulation and, for example, corresponds to freshwater runoff at the Pointe-des-Monts section. The outflow transport integrates all currents heading toward the ocean, while the estuarine ratio

corresponds to the outflow divided by the net transports. Note that the only section where estuarine circulation is dominant is at Pointe-des-Monts. The net transport at Honguedo is on average 15 times higher than at Pointe-des-Monts, consisting mostly of circulation around Anticosti Island first observed at the Jacques-Cartier section. Similarly, the net transport at Cabot Strait is outflow that is mostly balanced by inflow from the Strait of Belle Isle, such that an estuarine ratio is perhaps a misleading description. Transports through sections under the direct estuarine influence of the St. Lawrence River (e.g., Pointe-des-Monts) have a more direct response to change in freshwater runoff while others (e.g., Cabot Strait, Bradelle Bank) have a different response, presumably due to redistribution of circulation in the GSL under varying runoff. The estuarine circulation ratio is determined by the mixing intensities within the estuary and is greatly influenced by stratification. It is on average greatest during winter months and weakest during the spring freshet. In fact, it is sufficiently reduced in spring that the climatological outward transport at Pointe-des-Monts reaches its minimum value in June even though this month corresponds to the third highest net transport of the year, i.e. the estuary becomes sufficiently stratified that fresh water runoff tends to slip on top of the denser salty waters underneath. This occurred in 2017 when the exceptionally high April freshet led to decreased modeled estuarine circulation and decreased outward transport by entrainment at the Pointe-des-Monts section. In 2020, the net transport across the Bradelle section was the lowest of the time series, in agreement with the weaker than usual circulation across the Magdalen Shallows noted above.

HIGH FREQUENCY SAMPLING AZMP STATIONS

Sampling by the Maurice Lamontagne Institute began in 1991 at a station offshore of Rimouski (48° 40' N 68° 35' W, 320 m depth; Plourde et al. 2009), typically once a week during summer and less often during spring and fall and almost never in winter (Fig. 59). In 2013, following several analyses that identified good correlations and correspondences between the prior AZMP Anticosti Gyre and Gaspé Current stations with the Rimouski station, it was decided to drop sampling efforts at these logistically difficult stations and officially integrate the Rimouski station in the AZMP program, and begin winter sampling there when opportunities arose. The AZMP station in the Shediac Valley (47° 46.8' N, 64° 01.8' W, 84 m depth) is sampled by the Bedford Institute of Oceanography, by DFO Gulf Region and by the Maurice Lamontagne Institute (Fig. 59). This station has been sampled irregularly since 1947, nearly every year since 1957, and more regularly during the summer months since 1999 when the AZMP program began.

Oceanographic moorings have been deployed on rotation since the summer of 2015 at these two AZMP stations, providing data to fill sampling gaps in winter or during other times of the year. In 2020, Viking oceanographic buoys equipped with an automatic temperature and salinity profiler carried out 212 full-depth casts at Shediac Valley station, and 53 casts to 320 m at Rimouski station.

Isotherms and isohalines as well as monthly averages of layer temperature and salinity, stratification, and CIL minimum core temperature and thickness at <1°C are shown for 2018-2020 for the Rimouski station in Fig. 60 and for the Shediac Valley station in Fig. 61. The scorecard climatologies are calculated from 1991–2020 data, but there are few data prior to 1999 for Shediac Valley. Mooring data are also used to fill winter gaps in the isotherms and isohalines, and are used throughout the tables of monthly averages when available at the corresponding depths.

At the Rimouski station, the gradual shift of cold-fresh deep anomalies at 200-300 m present in 2010 to warmer-saltier waters advected from Cabot Strait lead to a shift to warm anomalies by

Summer 2014 and a 320-m series record in temperature (6.01°C) observed in November 2020. The CIL was near normal to warmer and thinner than normal in 2020.

Conditions at Shediac Valley station (Fig. 61) were generally normal with above normal near bottom temperatures from May to October. The significant surface cooling and warming at 20-50 m between August and September is consistent with strong vertical mixing noted earlier, and explains the warmer than normal bottom water conditions on the Magdalen Shallows observed during the September survey (Fig. 42).

Fig. 62 shows the interannual variability of some bulk layer averages from May to October for the two stations. All temperature metrics were normal to above normal at both stations, including a record high near-bottom temperature (5.83°C) at Rimouski station.

SUMMARY

Fig. 63 summarizes SST, summertime CIL and deep-water average temperatures. While May-November SST and August SST are somewhat well correlated ($R^2 = 0.38$ for the 1982–2020 AVHRR record), the August SST reached very high anomalies in 2014 compared to the May-November average, while in 2006 the reverse was found to be the case. Similarly, the high August SST anomalies observed in recent years contrasts with some below average May-November anomalies. The figure shows average temperature at 300 m at a 100+ year series record high, exceeding the 1991–2020 climatology by just over 1°C, and shows the average temperature at 200 m just reaching the 1991–2020 climatological value for 300 m.

Another summary of the temperature state of the Gulf of St. Lawrence over a shorter time span (since 1971) allows the inclusion of more data sets, and three sets of four time series are chosen to represent surface, intermediate and deep conditions (Fig. 64). The SST summer and fall timing are from Fig. 22 (12°C threshold) with air temperature proxies used prior to 1982. Sea-ice is grouped as an intermediate feature since all are associated with winter formation. Fig. 64 shows the sums of these three sets of anomalies representing the state of different parts of the system and is reproduced on Fig. 65 with each time series contribution shown as stacked bars (Petrie et al. 2007). These composite indices measure the overall state of the climate system with positive values representing warm conditions and negative representing cold conditions. The plot also indicates the degree of correlation between the various measures of the environment.

The index of surface anomalies was near normal (+0.1 SD) in 2020, with early fall cooling driven by wind mixing offsetting the first early spring warming since 2014. The index of intermediate layer anomalies was above (warmer than) normal (+1.7 SD), driven mostly by Magdalen Shallows CIL conditions which were than in the rest of the Gulf for the second consecutive year. The index of deep temperature anomalies was at a series record high (+2.6 SD).

KEY FINDINGS

- The annual average runoff from the St. Lawrence River at Québec City and RIVSUM II were above normal at 14,100 m³s⁻¹ (+1.2 SD) and 19,000 m³s⁻¹ (+0.9 SD) respectively. The spring freshet was slightly earlier than normal.
- Some sea-ice briefly occurred along the southern coast of Nova Scotia, although the volume of sea ice exported on the Scotian Shelf was below normal. Sea ice maximum volume was below normal at 38 km³ (-0.7 SD). In the 11 year span since 2010, 7 of the 11 lowest maximum ice volumes of the time series have occurred, although this does not include 2020 which ranked 13th.

-
- The winter surface mixed cold layer ($< -1^{\circ}\text{C}$) volume of $13,100 \text{ km}^3$ was near normal ($+0.4 \text{ SD}$), corresponding to 39% of the total water volume of the Gulf. The Labrador Shelf water intrusion volume into Mécatina Trough of 1500 km^3 was near normal.
 - The August cold intermediate layer (CIL) average minimum temperature was $+0.7 \text{ SD}$ above normal at $+0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$, but the Gilbert and Pettigrew minimum temperature index, which includes data over a longer season, was near normal (-0.20°C , $+0.4 \text{ SD}$).
 - The timing of summer onset and post-season cooling of the surface layer at 12°C were both earlier than normal (by -1.3 SD , -1.2 weeks and -1.5 SD , -1.5 weeks). This early fall cooling was caused by the mixing of the water column by strong winds starting in late August.
 - Surface water temperatures in the Estuary reached an unusual series record in July. The effect of upwelling and mixing that occurs at the head of the Laurentian Channel that usually keeps Estuary surface water cool was reduced in July, possibly by persistent Easterly winds, and surface temperatures rose to an Estuary average of 11.4°C , a weekly record maximum.
 - Surface water temperatures were then at a record low in September in the Estuary and Northwest Gulf caused by strong vertical wind mixing. The overall seasonal average May–November SST for the Gulf was near normal (-0.2°C , -0.3 SD) in spite of an above normal seasonal August maximum ($+0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+0.9 \text{ SD}$).
 - In September 2020, none of the bottom waters of the Magdalen Shallows had temperatures $< 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the area covered by water with temperatures $< 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ decreased to a near record low (-2.4 SD) just below half of the climatological mean. Viking buoy data collected over the water column at Shediac Valley station indicates that this was associated with vertical mixing at the end of August that mixed down heat.
 - Deep water temperatures have been increasing overall in the Gulf, with inward advection from Cabot Strait. Gulf-wide average temperature at 150 m was lower than the 2015 record highs but above normal at 3.7°C ($+1.6 \text{ SD}$), 3rd highest of the times series. New series record highs (since 1915) were set at 200, 250 and 300 m, at 5.7°C ($+1.2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+1.9 \text{ SD}$), 6.6°C ($+1.1^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2.5 \text{ SD}$) and 6.8°C ($+1.1^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2.7 \text{ SD}$) respectively. At 300 m, temperature increased to regional record highs in all deep regions of the Gulf: Estuary (5.9°C , $+0.8^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2.3 \text{ SD}$), Northwest Gulf (6.4°C , $+0.9^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2.7 \text{ SD}$), Central Gulf (6.8°C , $+1.1^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2.6 \text{ SD}$) and Cabot Strait (7.2°C , $+1.2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2.5 \text{ SD}$).
 - Bottom area covered by waters warmer than 6°C was at a record high in the Northwest Gulf, the Northeast Gulf, and in Centre and Cabot Strait, and some $7\text{--}8^{\circ}\text{C}$ habitat appeared for the first time in the Northeast Gulf.

OUTLOOK FOR 2021

Air temperatures were $+3.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ above normal over the Gulf in December 2020, $+4.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ above normal in January 2021, remaining above normal in February and March for a December–March average anomaly of $+3.1^{\circ}\text{C}$. This was the setting for the March 2021 survey, which provides an outlook for CIL conditions expected for the remainder of 2021. Fig. 66 shows the March 2021 surface mixed layer temperature, salinity, and thickness (at $T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$), as well as the thickness and extent of the cold and saline layer that has intruded into the Gulf from the Labrador shelf.

Outside of the Estuary, Magdalen Shallows and Mécatina Trough, none of the surface mixed layer was near-freezing, leading to very low sea ice cover in 2020–21. In fact, the thickness distribution of waters colder than 0°C resembled that usually observed for waters colder than

-1°C. The predictive relation between the winter volume of water colder than -1°C and the following summer CIL minimum temperature index (Fig. 36) cannot be used with warm winters such as in 2010 and now 2021. A new relation between the winter volume of water colder than 0°C and the following summer CIL temperature minimum would predict a very warm index of 0.93°C, but the record low 2021 volume of water colder than 0°C is well below the prior 26 years of observations from the winter survey, making this prediction less reliable. Nevertheless, there is strong potential for the 2021 CIL to be the warmest since the 1980s.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the people responsible for CTD data acquisition during the surveys used in this report:

- Rimouski station monitoring: Roger Pigeon, Félix St-Pierre, Michel Rousseau, Rémi Desmarais, Anthony Ouellet, Nicolas Coulombe, Guillaume Mercier.
- Shediac Valley monitoring station: Roger Pigeon, Michel Rousseau, Félix St-Pierre, Nicolas Coulombe, Anthony Ouellet, Guillaume Mercier, Kevin Pauley, Tom Hurlbut.
- March survey: Peter Galbraith, Michel Rousseau, Michel Dubé, Sébastien Gauthier and the Transport Canada group in Ottawa for the design, build and annual maintenance of the new oceanographic winch.
- August Multi-species survey: Nicolas Coulombe; the officers and crew of the CCGS Teleost.
- October-November AZMP survey: Sonia Michaud, David Leblanc, Félix St-Pierre, Anthony Ouellet, Marie-Noëlle Bourassa, Jean-Luc Shaw, Guillaume Mercier, Brian Boivin; the officers and crew of the CCGS Hudson.
- September Multi-species survey: Nicolas Rolland and David Fishman (Gulf Region) for providing the CTD data.
- Northumberland Strait survey: Renée Allain, Natalie Asselin, Joël Chassé and the officers and crew of the CCGS M. Perley.
- Data management: Caroline Lafleur, Marie-Noëlle Bourassa, Brian Boivin and David Fishman.

CTD maintenance: Roger Pigeon, Félix St-Pierre, Michel Rousseau, Anthony Ouellet, Nicolas Coulombe, Guillaume Mercier.

Data from the following sources are also gratefully acknowledged:

- Air temperature: Environment Canada.
- Sea-ice: Canadian Ice Service, Environment Canada. Processing of GIS files by Jean-Luc Shaw.
- Runoff at Québec City: Denis Lefavre et Alain D'Astous.
- Runoff from hydrological modelling: Joël Chassé and Diane Lavoie.
- Historical AVHRR SST remote sensing (IML): Pierre Larouche, Bernard Pettigrew.
- AVHRR SST remote sensing (BIO): Carla Caverhill and Cathy Porter
- Thermograph network: Rémi Desmarais (happy retirement Rémi!) and Jacqueline Dumas.

All figures were made using the free software Gri (Kelley and Galbraith 2000).

We are grateful to David Hebert and Frédéric Cyr for reviewing the manuscript and providing insightful comments.

REFERENCES CITED

- Belzile, M., Galbraith, P.S., and Bourgault, D. 2016. [Water renewals in the Saguenay Fjord](#). J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 121, 638-657, doi:10.1002/2015JC011085.
- Benoît, H.P., Savenkoff, C., Ouellet, P., Galbraith, P.S., Chassé, J. and Fréchet, A. 2012. Impacts of fishing and climate-driven changes in exploited marine populations and communities with implications for management, in State-of-the-Ocean Report for the Gulf of St. Lawrence Integrated Management (GOSLIM) Area, H. P. Benoît, J. A. Gagné, C. Savenkoff, P. Ouellet and M.-N. Bourassa, Eds. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2986: viii + 73 pp.
- Casey, K.S., Brandon, T.B., Cornillon, P., and Evans, R. 2010. "[The Past, Present and Future of the AVHRR Pathfinder SST Program](#)", in Oceanography from Space: Revisited, eds. V. Barale, J.F.R. Gower, and L. Alberotanza, Springer.
- Cyr, F., Bourgault, D. and Galbraith, P.S. 2011. [Interior versus boundary mixing of a cold intermediate layer](#). J. Geophys. Res. (Oceans), 116, C12029, doi:10.1029/2011JC007359.
- Cyr, F., Snook, S., Bishop, C., Galbraith, P.S., Pye, B., Chen, N., and Han, G. 2021. [Physical Oceanographic Conditions on the Newfoundland and Labrador Shelf during 2019](#). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2021/017. iv + 52 p.
- DFO. 2020. [Oceanographic Conditions in the Atlantic Zone in 2019](#). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2020/028.
- Dutil, J.-D., Proulx, S., Galbraith, P.S., Chassé, J., Lambert, N. and Laurian, C. 2012. Coastal and epipelagic habitats of the estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 3009: ix + 87 p.
- Galbraith, P.S. 2006. [Winter water masses in the Gulf of St. Lawrence](#). J. Geophys. Res., 111, C06022, doi:10.1029/2005JC003159.
- Galbraith, P.S. and Grégoire, F. 2015. [Habitat thermique du maquereau bleu; profondeur de l'isotherme de 8 °C dans le sud du golfe du Saint-Laurent entre 1960 et 2014](#). Secr. can. de consult. sci. du MPO. Doc. de rech. 2014/116. v + 13 p.
- Galbraith, P.S. and Larouche, P. 2013. Trends and variability in eastern Canada sea-surface temperatures. Ch. 1 (p. 1-18) In: Aspects of climate change in the Northwest Atlantic off Canada [Loder, J.W., G. Han, P.S. Galbraith, J. Chassé and A. van der Baaren (Eds.)]. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 3045: x + 190 p.
- Galbraith, P.S., Saucier, F.J., Michaud, N., Lefavre, D., Corriveau, R., Roy, F., Pigeon, R. and Cantin, S. 2002. Shipborne monitoring of near-surface temperature and salinity in the Estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence. Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program Bulletin, Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans Canada. No. 2: 26–30.
- Galbraith, P.S., Desmarais, R., Pigeon, R. and Cantin, S. 2006. Ten years of monitoring winter water masses in the Gulf of St. Lawrence by helicopter. Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program Bulletin, Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans Canada. No. 5: 32–35.
- Galbraith, P.S., Larouche, P., Gilbert, D., Chassé, J. and Petrie, B. 2010. Trends in sea-surface and CIL temperatures in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in relation to air temperature. Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program Bulletin, 9: 20-23.

-
- Galbraith P.S., Larouche, P., Chassé, J. and Petrie, B. 2012. [Sea-surface temperature in relation to air temperature in the Gulf of St. Lawrence: interdecadal variability and long term trends](#). Deep Sea Res. II, V77–80, 10–20.
- Galbraith, P.S., Hebert, D., Colbourne, E. and Pettipas, R. 2013. Trends and variability in eastern Canada sub-surface ocean temperatures and implications for sea ice. Ch.5 In: Aspects of climate change in the Northwest Atlantic off Canada [Loder, J.W., G. Han, P.S. Galbraith, J. Chassé and A. van der Baaren (Eds.)]. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 3045: x + 192 p.
- Galbraith, P.S., Chassé, J., Caverhill, C., Nicot, P., Gilbert, D., Pettigrew, B., Lefavre, D., Brickman, D., Devine, L., and Lafleur, C. 2017. [Physical Oceanographic Conditions in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 2016](#). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2017/044. v + 91 p.
- Galbraith, P.S., D. Bourgault, M. Belzile. 2018. [Circulation et renouvellement des masses d'eau du fjord du Saguenay](#). Naturaliste Canadien, 142-2:36-46. doi:10.7202/1047147ar
- Galbraith, P.S., Chassé, J., Shaw, J.-L., Dumas, J., Caverhill, C., Lefavre, D. and Lafleur, C. 2020. [Physical Oceanographic Conditions in the Gulf of St. Lawrence during 2019](#). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2020/030. iv + 84 p.
- Galbraith, P.S., P. Larouche, C. Caverhill. 2021. [A sea-surface temperature homogenization blend for the Northwest Atlantic](#). Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, DOI: 10.1080 / 07038992.2021.1924645
- Gilbert, D. 2004. Propagation of temperature signals from the northwest Atlantic continental shelf edge into the Laurentian Channel. ICES CM, 2004/N:7, 12 pp.
- Gilbert, D. and Pettigrew, B. 1997. [Interannual variability \(1948-1994\) of the CIL core temperature in the Gulf of St. Lawrence](#). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 54 (Suppl. 1): 57–67.
- Gilbert, D., Sundby, B., Gobeil, C., Mucci, A. and Tremblay, G.-H. 2005. [A seventy-two-year record of diminishing deep-water oxygen in the St. Lawrence estuary: The northwest Atlantic connection](#). Limnol. Oceanogr., 50(5): 1654–1666.
- Hammill, M.O. and Galbraith, P.S. 2012. Changes in seasonal sea-ice cover and its effect on marine mammals, in State-of-the-Ocean Report for the Gulf of St. Lawrence Integrated Management (GOSLIM) Area, H. P. Benoît, J. A. Gagné, C. Savenkoff, P. Ouellet and M.-N. Bourassa, Eds. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2986: viii + 73 pp.
- Hebert, D., Pettipas, R., and Brickman, D. 2020. [Physical Oceanographic Conditions on the Scotian Shelf and in the Gulf of Maine during 2018](#). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2020/036. iv + 52 p.
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R. and Josephé, D. 1996. [The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project](#). Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 77, 437–470.
- Kelley, D.E. and Galbraith, P.S. 2000. [Gri: A language for scientific illustration](#), Linux J., 75, 92–101.
- Lauzier, L.M. and Trites, R.W. 1958. [The Deep Waters in the Laurentian Channel](#). J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 15: 1247–1257.
- Lefavre, D., D'Astous, A., and Matte, P. 2016. [Hindcast of Water Level and Flow in the St. Lawrence River over the 2005–2012 period](#). Atmosphere-Ocean, 54 (3), 264-277.

-
- McLellan, H.J. 1957. [On the distinctness and origin of the slope water off the Scotian Shelf and its easterly flow south of the Grand Banks](#). J. Fish. Res. Board. Can. 14: 213–239.
- Petrie, B., Drinkwater, K., Sandström, A., Pettipas, R., Gregory, D., Gilbert, D. and Sekhon, P. 1996. Temperature, salinity and sigma-t atlas for the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean Sci., 178: v + 256 pp.
- Petrie, B., Pettipas, R.G. and Petrie, W.M. 2007. [An overview of meteorological, sea ice and sea surface temperature conditions off eastern Canada during 2006](#). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2007/022.
- Pettigrew, B., Gilbert, D. and Desmarais R. 2016. Thermograph network in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean Sci. 311: vi + 77 p.
- Pettigrew, B., Gilbert, D. and Desmarais R. 2017. Thermograph network in the Gulf of St. Lawrence: 2014-2016 update. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean Sci. 317: vii + 54 p.
- Plourde, S., Joly, P., St-Amand, L. and Starr, M. 2009. La station de monitoring de Rimouski : plus de 400 visites et 18 ans de monitoring et de recherche. Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program Bulletin, Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans Canada. No. 8: 51-55.
- Tamdrari, H., Castonguay, M., Brêthes, J.-C., Galbraith, P.S. and Duplisea, D.E. 2012. [The dispersal pattern and behaviour of Atlantic cod in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence: results from tagging experiments](#). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 69: 112-121.
- Therriault, J.-C., Petrie, B., Pépin, P., Gagnon, J., Gregory, D., Helbig, J., Herman, A., Lefavre, D., Mitchell, M., Pelchat, B., Runge, J. and Sameoto, D. 1998. Proposal for a Northwest Atlantic zonal monitoring program. Can. Tech. Rep. Hydrogr. Ocean Sci., 194: vii + 57 pp.
- Vincent, L. A., Wang, X. L., Milewska, E. J., Wan, H., Yang, F. and Swail, V. 2012. [A second generation of homogenized Canadian monthly surface air temperature for climate trend analysis](#). J. Geophys. Res., 117, D18110, doi:10.1029/2012JD017859.
- World Meteorological Organization 2017. [WMO guidelines on the calculation of climate normals](#). Tech. rep., Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.435.

FIGURES




Fig. 1. The Gulf of St. Lawrence. Locations discussed in the text are indicated. Bathymetry datasets used are from the Canadian Hydrographic Service to the west of 56°47' W (with some corrections applied to the baie des Chaleurs and Magdalen Shallows) and TOPEX data to the east. Bottom panel shows detail for 0-100 m bathymetry.




Fig. 2. Gulf of St. Lawrence divided into oceanographic regions used in spatial averaging.




Fig. 3. Typical seasonal progression of the depth profile of temperature observed in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Profiles are averages of observations in August, June and November 2007 in the northern Gulf. The dashed line at left shows a single winter temperature profile (March 2008), with near freezing temperatures in the top 75 m. The cold intermediate layer (CIL) is defined as the part of the water column that is colder than 1°C, although some authors use a different temperature threshold. Figure from Galbraith et al. (2012).




Fig. 4. Monthly air temperatures and anomalies for 2019 and 2020 at selected meteorological stations around the Gulf as well as the average for all stations. The blue area represents the 1991–2020 climatological monthly mean ± 0.5 SD. Months with 4 or more days of missing data are omitted. The bottom scorecards are colour-coded according to the monthly normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 climatologies for each month, but the numbers are the monthly anomalies in $^{\circ}\text{C}$. For anomalies greater than 2 SD from normal, the prior year with a greater anomaly is indicated. Seasonal, December–March, April–November and annual anomalies are included for the all-station average. Observations at Plum Point (not shown) had been interrupted since 2016 have reappeared since April 2019 and are included in the all-station average.




Fig. 5. Annual, April–November December–March mean air temperature anomalies averaged for the selected stations around the Gulf from Fig. 4. The bottom scorecards are colour-coded according to the normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 climatology. Trends plus and minus their 95% confidence intervals are shown. April–November air temperature anomalies tend to be highly-correlated with May–November sea-surface temperature anomalies (Galbraith et al. 2012; Galbraith and Larouche 2013) whereas winter air temperature anomalies correlate highly with sea-ice cover parameters and winter mixed-layer volume (Galbraith et al. 2010; Galbraith et al. 2013).




Fig. 6. Daily mean freshwater flow of the St. Lawrence River at Québec City. The 1991–2020 climatological mean (± 0.5 SD) is shown (blue shading). Monthly means are shown by red dots and displayed in the scorecard. It is colour-coded according to the monthly anomalies normalized for each month of the year, but the numbers are the actual monthly anomalies in $10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.




Fig. 7. Monthly mean freshwater flow of RIVSUM II (upper curve), the sum of the St. Lawrence River at Québec City lagged by 21 days (middle curve) and rivers flowing into the St. Lawrence Estuary (lower curve). The 1991–2020 climatological means (± 0.5 SD) are shown (blue shading). The scorecards are colour-coded according to the monthly anomalies normalized for each month of the year, but the numbers are the actual monthly anomalies in $10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.




Fig. 8. River discharge locations for the regional sums of runoffs listed in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. Red and blue dots indicate rivers that have climatological mean runoff greater than $200 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and between 100 and $200 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.

RivSum II	17057	17602	18724	19905	31392	30356	21614	17506	16572	16311	17707	17306	17123	18794	18073	23313	28102	22084	17065	15909	15602	16777	17795	17317	17778 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
St. Lawrence River	13368	13893	14924	15117	22766	21546	16143	13078	12345	11817	13399	13447	13331	14983	14327	18584	19865	15151	12395	11907	11561	11722	12999	12531	12746 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
Estuary	3689	3709	3800	4788	8626	8810	5471	4428	4227	4494	4308	3859	3792	3811	3746	4729	8237	6933	4670	4002	4041	5055	4796	4786	5090 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
Northwest Gulf	89	21	91	306	1842	4767	2786	1258	647	505	542	216	29	1	77	558	1939	3316	1653	919	934	1468	1335	1226	1177 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
Northeast Gulf	416	176	277	639	2922	7949	5465	2668	1737	1202	1950	1190	239	36	213	923	3699	7478	3016	1400	1031	2216	2984	2350	2228 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
Magdalen Shallows	471	297	640	1843	2505	1432	233	60	467	472	668	680	315	188	391	1202	2077	694	321	233	161	675	965	988	735 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	
	2019												2020												

Fig. 9. Monthly anomalies of the RivSum II, the 21-day lagged St. Lawrence River runoff and sums of all other major rivers draining into separate Gulf regions for 2019 and 2020 as delimited in Fig. 8. The scorecards are colour-coded according to the monthly normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 climatologies for each month, but the numbers are the monthly average runoffs in $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Numbers on the right side are annual climatological means. Runoff regulation is simulated for three rivers that flow into the Estuary (Saguenay, Manicouagan, Outardes).




Fig. 10. Annual mean freshwater flow of the St. Lawrence River at Québec City, of the sum of all rivers flowing into regions of the Estuary, the sum of the two: the RivSum II (top panel) and into 3 other oceanographic regions of the Gulf (bottom panel). The 1991–2020 climatological mean is shown as horizontal lines and indicated on the right side of the scorecards. Numbers in scorecards are normalized anomalies.




Fig. 11. Sea-surface temperature climatological and 2020 seasonal cycle in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. AVHRR temperature weekly averages are shown for the Gulf (thick black line) and the cooler Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (thin black line). Thermosalinograph data averages are shown for the head of the Laurentian Channel (at $69^{\circ}30'W$, gray dashed line). Monthly air temperature averaged over stations in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (excluding the Estuary) are shown offset by 2 weeks into the future (thick gray line; winter months not shown) and also not offset in the annual panel (thick gray dashed line). Figure adapted from Galbraith et al. (2012).




Fig. 12. Hovmöller diagram of thermosalinograph data at 8 m depth along the Montréal to St. John's shipping route: composite mean annual cycle of the water temperature for the 2000–2020 period (top left panel), composite annual cycle of the water temperature for the end of 2019 and 2020 (top middle panel), and water temperature anomaly relative to the 2000–2020 composite (top right panel). The map indicates all ship tracks in 2020, with those in blue used in the analysis.




Fig. 13. Maurice Lamontagne Institute thermograph network stations in 2020, including oceanographic buoys that transmit data in real time (squares). Deep and shallow instruments are denoted by open circles and dots, while seasonal and year-round deployments are denoted by gray and black symbols.

Estuary and NW Gulf / Estuaire et NO du Golfe




Fig. 14. Thermograph network daily mean temperatures (black line) compared with the daily climatology (blue areas are daily climatological averages ± 0.5 SD) for stations in the Estuary and northwestern Gulf. Stations that exhibit large tidal variations are displayed showing daily minimum (blue) and maximum (red) values, overlying daily climatological averages ± 0.5 SD of the minimum (blue shaded area) and maximum (orange shaded areas) values. Data from 2019 are included for stations collecting data year-round. The scorecards show monthly average temperatures in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ colour-coded according to the monthly normalized anomalies based on the climatologies for each month.

Lower North Shore / Basse Côte Nord




Fig. 15. Thermograph network data. Daily mean 2020 temperatures (black line) compared with the daily climatology (blue areas are daily climatological averages ± 0.5 SD) for stations of the lower north shore. Stations that exhibit large tidal variations are displayed showing daily minimum (blue) and maximum (red) values, overlying daily climatological averages ± 0.5 SD of the minimum (blue shaded area) and maximum (orange shaded areas) values. Data from 2019 are included for stations collecting data year-round. Thin red lines in the Belle Isle panel span the historical dates when spring temperature increased over -1°C , a temperature associated with inflow of winter Labrador Shelf Water into the Gulf. Thick red line indicates mean date plus and minus 0.5 SD. The scorecards show monthly average temperatures in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ colour-coded according to the normalized anomalies based on the climatologies for each month.

Southern Gulf / Sud du Golfe




Fig. 16. Thermograph network data. Daily mean 2020 temperatures compared with the daily climatology (daily averages ± 0.5 SD; blue area) for stations of the Southern Gulf. Data from 2019 are included for stations collecting data year-round, and data for the last 3 years for new stations East Southern Gulf and Old Harry. Thin red lines in the Île Shag panel span the historical dates when spring temperature increased over 1.5°C , a temperature associated with increased lobster mobility. Thick red line indicates mean date plus and minus 0.5 SD.




Fig. 17. Thermograph network data. Daily mean 2020 salinities (black lines) compared with the daily climatology (daily averages ± 0.5 SD; blue area) computed from all available stations. Stations that exhibit large tidal variations are displayed showing daily minimum (blue) and maximum (red) values, overlying daily climatological averages ± 0.5 SD of the minimum (blue shaded area) and maximum (orange shaded areas) values. Data from 2019 are included for stations collecting data year-round. The scorecards show monthly average salinities colour-coded according to the normalized anomalies based on the climatologies for each month.




Fig. 18. Sea-surface temperature monthly averages for 2020 as observed with AVHRR remote sensing. Grey areas have no data for the period due to ice cover or clouds.




Fig. 19. Sea-surface temperature monthly anomalies for April-December 2019 based on monthly climatologies calculated for the 1985–2010 period observed with AVHRR remote sensing. This is the only product in this report that has not yet been updated to a 1991–2020 climatology.




Fig. 20. AVHRR SST May to November 2020 weekly, monthly and seasonal averages over the Gulf and over eight regions of the Gulf. The blue area represents the 1991–2020 climatological weekly mean ± 0.5 SD. The climatological average plus and minus half the standard deviation of the seasonal mean temperature are indicated by the black double bars on the left side of panels, while the year's seasonal mean is indicated by the red line. The thick gray line in the Gulf panel is the monthly average air temperature (Fig. 4). The scorecards are colour-coded according to the normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 climatologies for each week (top row), month (middle row) or for the May–November period (bottom row), but the monthly numbers are average temperature anomalies.





Fig. 21. AVHRR SST May to November monthly temperatures and anomalies, averaged over the Gulf and over regions of the Gulf for 2020. The numbers on the right-hand panel are area average temperatures and are colour-coded accordingly. The right-hand scorecards are colour-coded according to the monthly normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 climatologies for each month, but the numbers are the monthly average temperature anomalies expressed in °C. The Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence region corresponds to Northwest Gulf, Northeast Gulf, Centre and Cabot Strait and is reported in the AZMP Science Advisory Report.



Region	Month	Year																								Mean ± SD																
		1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020				
Centre and Cabot Strait	M	1.8	2.9	2.4	2.0	3.2	2.8	3.2	2.0	1.2	1.6	1.3	2.2	2.1	3.4	2.3	1.5	4.0	3.4	2.6	3.6	1.5	2.1	2.2	3.2	4.8	2.5	3.8	2.4	3.0	2.9	4.0	3.4	2.8	1.7	3.5	2.8	1.7	2.2	2.1	2.68°C ± 0.89	
	J	7.1	7.8	6.0	6.2	6.6	8.3	6.6	8.1	6.2	6.8	6.7	7.3	7.1	8.8	8.2	7.1	8.5	9.5	7.7	9.0	6.8	7.2	6.4	8.2	9.6	7.9	7.6	8.4	7.1	7.2	9.2	7.9	8.8	6.6	7.4	8.3	5.7	7.4	7.8	7.74°C ± 0.95	
	J	11.8	12.7	13.3	13.5	12.2	13.9	12.7	13.6	11.9	12.1	11.1	11.9	14.2	14.4	13.1	13.1	14.2	15.2	13.6	13.9	12.7	12.8	13.7	13.6	15.2	14.1	14.8	13.5	13.7	12.2	15.2	13.9	15.2	12.2	13.3	14.2	13.0	12.7	14.8	13.59°C ± 1.08	
	A	14.0	13.8	16.4	15.6	15.1	15.2	16.0	15.4	15.0	14.5	14.1	15.8	16.2	16.4	16.8	15.7	16.0	16.3	17.4	16.5	16.2	15.8	16.9	15.5	16.3	15.3	16.6	16.7	17.1	15.5	17.9	15.8	17.6	16.7	16.6	16.4	16.9	16.6	17.0	16.31°C ± 0.83	
	S	11.8	12.8	13.0	13.0	12.0	12.7	12.3	11.0	12.6	12.2	13.4	13.0	13.4	12.2	14.1	13.5	13.4	14.9	13.8	13.4	12.1	13.4	12.1	13.4	13.9	11.8	13.4	12.4	13.6	13.6	14.0	12.8	12.9	14.2	12.8	14.0	12.7	10.2	11.9	13.10°C ± 0.97	
	O	8.8	9.6	7.5	8.9	7.9	8.6	7.9	6.8	8.5	8.6	7.7	8.4	9.5	9.5	9.0	8.9	8.0	8.8	8.4	9.5	7.6	9.5	8.8	8.5	9.6	8.2	8.7	8.4	9.2	9.0	9.4	9.3	8.9	9.1	8.8	9.1	7.9	7.7	7.5	8.71°C ± 0.63	
N	5.0	5.0	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.2	3.0	4.9	3.6	4.2	4.8	5.3	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.7	4.3	3.7	4.1	5.0	5.2	5.9	4.5	5.0	4.7	5.3	5.7	5.9	4.9	4.8	4.4	5.9	4.7	2.8	4.5	3.8	4.69°C ± 0.70		
M-N	8.6	9.2	8.9	9.0	8.6	9.4	9.0	8.6	8.3	8.7	8.3	9.0	9.6	10.0	9.8	9.2	9.8	10.3	9.7	10.0	8.7	9.3	9.3	9.7	10.8	9.2	10.0	9.5	9.8	9.4	10.9	9.7	10.1	9.3	9.8	9.9	8.7	8.8	9.3	9.55°C ± 0.61		
Magdalen Shallows	M	2.8	4.0	3.2	3.3	4.2	3.8	4.1	3.5	2.5	2.8	2.5	3.1	3.4	4.0	3.8	2.6	5.8	5.0	3.7	4.6	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.9	6.3	3.9	4.9	4.3	4.7	3.8	5.3	4.5	3.7	3.3	4.7	3.8	3.5	3.2	3.6	3.97°C ± 0.90	
	J	9.3	10.1	8.3	8.1	8.6	10.1	8.3	10.2	8.6	9.7	9.2	9.1	10.0	10.6	10.9	9.2	10.8	12.0	10.2	11.4	9.4	9.6	8.8	9.6	11.6	10.2	10.4	10.7	9.7	9.2	11.0	10.2	10.7	9.0	9.0	11.0	8.2	10.0	10.7	10.06°C ± 0.91	
	J	14.2	14.6	16.1	15.4	14.3	15.8	15.5	15.4	14.6	14.5	13.2	14.5	17.8	16.9	15.2	15.5	16.2	17.4	16.0	16.2	15.1	16.2	15.7	16.0	17.2	16.3	17.2	14.9	16.6	15.0	16.9	16.6	17.5	14.5	14.7	16.0	15.4	16.6	15.99°C ± 1.02		
	A	15.2	15.7	18.0	17.0	15.8	16.7	17.6	16.7	17.3	16.4	16.0	17.9	18.0	18.1	18.3	17.2	17.4	17.9	18.1	18.4	17.8	17.0	18.1	17.8	17.6	16.8	17.0	18.1	18.4	17.2	19.2	17.4	17.9	18.1	17.9	17.6	18.9	18.3	17.8	17.78°C ± 1.07	
	S	12.9	14.2	14.3	14.3	12.8	13.6	13.7	13.0	14.4	13.8	14.7	15.0	14.5	13.9	15.3	14.9	14.8	15.6	14.9	15.3	14.2	14.7	13.8	15.7	14.9	13.7	14.5	14.0	14.6	14.5	15.6	14.1	14.5	15.4	14.9	15.2	12.6	13.7	14.62°C ± 0.72		
	O	9.8	10.5	8.9	10.6	8.6	9.7	8.8	8.1	9.9	10.3	9.0	9.7	10.0	10.6	10.3	9.9	9.4	9.7	9.9	11.1	9.8	10.7	10.2	10.3	10.2	11.0	9.7	10.0	10.4	10.5	10.4	10.1	10.0	10.4	9.1	9.3	9.5	10.04°C ± 0.48			
N	5.8	5.5	5.1	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.2	3.9	5.8	4.4	4.9	6.1	5.9	5.8	5.5	5.1	5.1	5.3	5.2	4.8	5.1	5.3	6.0	6.0	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	6.4	6.3	5.6	4.7	5.8	6.8	5.5	3.3	5.6	5.4	5.49°C ± 0.67		
M-N	10.0	10.7	10.6	10.5	9.8	10.6	10.3	10.2	10.2	10.5	9.8	10.6	11.4	11.4	11.4	10.7	11.4	11.8	11.2	11.8	10.8	11.0	10.8	11.3	12.0	11.0	11.4	11.1	11.4	10.9	12.1	11.3	11.2	11.1	11.3	11.5	10.6	10.6	11.1	11.14°C ± 0.48		
Baie des Chateaux	M	3.1	3.4	5.6	6.1	5.6	5.9	4.7	4.3	6.2	5.4	5.6	5.5	6.4	5.4	5.1	7.5	7.2	6.1	7.0	4.9	5.7	5.0	5.5	7.8	6.2	7.6	6.1	6.6	5.4	6.6	6.7	6.5	5.3	7.6	5.7	5.9	5.3	5.2	6.09°C ± 0.85		
	J	10.6	9.8	9.4	9.8	9.6	11.0	10.9	11.7	10.3	12.5	10.8	11.5	12.2	13.1	12.4	11.3	12.3	13.1	11.6	12.8	10.0	11.8	9.8	11.5	13.2	12.7	11.8	11.0	11.8	11.6	11.8	11.5	14.6	11.2	12.4	12.6	10.6	11.5	12.3	11.91°C ± 1.00	
	J	15.2	15.9	15.1	13.5	15.2	15.4	15.3	14.3	14.4	13.6	16.4	17.2	17.4	16.0	15.4	16.3	16.5	15.7	16.0	15.2	16.9	16.1	17.0	16.8	16.6	17.2	14.8	16.6	16.2	16.6	16.4	17.1	15.6	15.9	16.1	16.3	15.7	16.9	16.16°C ± 0.87		
	A	15.1	15.5	17.4	16.0	14.8	15.3	16.9	15.5	17.4	16.3	15.0	18.1	16.4	17.6	17.2	15.8	16.6	16.7	16.6	17.1	17.4	16.0	17.1	16.8	16.7	16.0	16.9	17.2	17.1	16.3	18.4	15.6	17.8	18.0	16.8	15.1	17.3	16.2	17.3	16.78°C ± 0.83	
	S	11.2	11.9	13.4	13.4	11.3	11.7	12.3	12.3	13.5	13.2	13.1	13.7	12.4	13.2	14.2	13.4	14.1	14.7	13.5	13.9	13.3	13.6	13.3	13.4	14.1	12.8	13.8	13.4	14.0	13.8	14.1	12.3	14.5	15.3	14.0	13.8	13.8	12.3	12.2	13.56°C ± 0.71	
	O	9.4	8.7	8.2	8.1	7.6	8.3	7.2	6.6	8.2	8.7	7.5	7.5	9.2	9.0	9.1	8.6	8.4	8.9	8.2	9.2	8.3	9.1	9.3	8.8	9.3	8.8	8.5	8.5	8.6	9.8	8.9	9.5	8.8	10.0	9.6	9.6	7.3	8.8	7.1	8.76°C ± 0.71	
N	4.2	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.4	2.9	2.7	2.6	4.4	2.7	3.2	5.3	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.2	3.4	3.9	4.2	4.7	5.4	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.8	5.1	4.4	4.7	3.9	5.1	6.0	3.9	1.7	4.2	3.3	4.19°C ± 0.82			
M-N	9.6	9.8	10.4	10.2	9.5	10.1	10.2	9.9	10.1	10.8	9.7	10.8	11.2	11.5	11.2	10.6	11.3	11.6	10.8	11.4	10.3	11.0	10.7	11.1	11.9	11.1	11.9	11.0	11.4	10.8	11.4	11.2	11.5	10.9	11.9	11.1	10.9	10.4	10.6	10.6	11.06°C ± 0.49	
Northumberland	M	4.4	4.6	4.9	5.6	4.4	5.5	5.7	4.2	4.7	4.3	4.5	5.8	5.9	6.2	4.6	7.3	7.1	5.7	6.7	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.6	7.9	6.0	5.9	6.4	7.1	5.9	7.4	6.5	6.1	5.6	6.8	6.3	5.7	5.7	5.6	6.00°C ± 0.87		
	J	11.2	11.5	9.7	10.3	10.3	10.5	10.4	11.1	11.1	11.8	10.4	10.8	12.3	11.7	12.4	10.9	12.1	13.5	12.2	12.7	11.1	11.9	10.6	11.4	13.1	12.1	12.2	12.5	11.9	10.7	12.0	12.6	12.3	10.8	11.6	12.7	10.7	11.9	12.6	11.85°C ± 0.80	
	J	15.8	16.7	16.5	15.4	15.0	16.3	16.6	16.2	16.5	16.8	14.5	16.3	19.0	17.4	16.4	16.9	17.2	18.0	17.0	17.3	16.4	17.4	16.6	16.9	17.7	17.5	18.2	16.1	18.0	16.2	17.7	18.3	17.7	16.8	17.1	17.9	17.6	17.2	17.3	17.18°C ± 0.85	
	A	16.9	17.1	18.8	17.4	16.7	17.6	17.9	17.7	18.9	17.9	17.5	18.4	19.3	18.5	18.8	18.4	18.4	19.2	18.3	19.4	18.9	18.0	18.8	18.5	18.1	18.4	17.4	18.8	18.8	17.7	19.4	18.7	18.5	18.9	18.4	18.5	19.7	19.1	18.9	18.59°C ± 0.55	
	S	14.7	16.0	15.7	15.8	14.2	14.7	14.8	15.0	16.0	15.4	16.3	16.3	16.0	15.6	16.2	15.9	16.2	17.4	16.2	16.8	16.4	16.3	15.7	16.8	16.6	16.1	16.1	15.5	15.4	16.1	15.8	16.5	16.1	16.1	17.1	16.8	16.2	16.4	15.1	15.7	16.16°C ± 0.51
	O	11.4	11.8	10.7	11.6	10.1	11.1	10.4	10.0	11.5	11.9	10.5	11.3	11.9	12.7	10.9	11.1	11.1	11.5	11.5	13.0	12.0	12.5	11.7	12.0	12.0	12.5	11.5	11.0	11.8	12.2	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.1	11.5	12.7	10.4	10.3	10.9	11.69°C ± 0.68	
N	6.5	6.5	5.6	5.1	5.7	5.3	5.8	4.9	6.6	5.5	6.1	7.5	7.4	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.2	6.8	5.5	6.5	6.2	7.2	7.4	7.4	6.8	6.6	6.7	7.2	7.6	6.5	6.6	7.1	7.5	7.2	4.4	6.6	7.0	6.66°C ± 0.69			
M-N	11.4	12.0	11.9	11.6	11.0	11.5	11.6	11.7	11.9	12.2	11.3	12.0	13.1	12.7	12.5	12.0	12.7	13.3	12.4	13.2	12.2	12.6	12.2	12.6	13.2	12.9	12.5	12.4	12.9	12.3	13.2	12.9	12.8	12.6	12.8	13.1	12.1	12.3	12.6	12.59°C ± 0.45		
Laurentian Heritage	M	2.6	4.2	3.3	1.4	3.3	2.8	3.7	1.9	1.5	1.7	1.6	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.9	1.6	4.2	4.5	3.4	3.5	2.2	2.3	2.9	3.7	4.8																




Fig. 24. Areas defined as the western and eastern Magdalen Shelf. The thick gray line shows the outline of the Ecosystem Approach region for the Magdalen Shallows (Fig. 2).




Fig. 25. AVHRR SST May to November monthly anomalies averaged over the Magdalen Shallows region (Fig. 2) and the eastern and western subregions of the Magdalen Shelf (Fig. 24). The scorecards are colour-coded according to the monthly normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 climatologies for each month, but the numbers are the monthly average temperatures in °C. The 1991–2020 mean and standard deviation are indicated for each month on the right side of the table.




Fig. 26. Weekly average SST (1982–2020) matrix for the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Black lines show first and last occurrence of the 12°C isotherm and proxies based on June-July (blue line) and September (magenta) average air temperature are also shown (axes on right). Gray lines show first and last occurrence of the 10°C isotherm. The scorecards are colour-coded according to the normalized anomalies based on the 1991–2020 time series, but the numbers are week numbers when the threshold was crossed. Updated from Galbraith and Larouche 2013.




Fig. 27. First and last occurrence of ice and ice season duration based on weekly data. The 1991–2020 climatologies are shown (left) as well as the 2020 values (middle) and anomalies (right). First and last occurrence is defined here as the first and last weekly chart in which any amount of ice is recorded for each pixel and are illustrated as day-of-year. Ice duration sums the number of weeks with ice cover for each pixel. Climatologies are shown for pixels that had at least 15 years out of the 30 with occurrence of sea-ice, and therefore also show the area with 50% likelihood of having some sea-ice at any time during any given year.

Region	First occurrence of ice		Last occurrence of ice		Duration of ice season		Maximum ice volume (km ³)		Mean ± S.D.
	Day	Anomaly	Day	Anomaly	Days	Anomaly	Volume	Anomaly	
Upper Estuary	54	17	84	83	84	83	85	92	-14 ± 10
Estuary	12	12	124	76	89	102	65	78	-5 ± 11
Northwest Gulf	10	13	10	13	10	13	10	13	6 ± 11
Northeast Gulf	2	-4	2	-4	2	-4	2	-4	21 ± 14
Centre and Cabot Strait	5	-9	14	105	141	103	105	192	24 ± 12
Mécatina & Belle Isle	16	-12	11	12	5	9	12	12	8 ± 11
Magdalen Shallows	3	-3	3	3	3	3	3	3	14 ± 12
Baie des Chaleurs	3	-3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-4 ± 10
Northumberland	12	-14	13	12	2	3	14	14	1 ± 9
Laurentian Hermitage	39	1	2	5	4	17	6	1	40 ± 12
Upper Estuary	37	-24	28	3	28	10	8	24	90 ± 8
Estuary	31	4	-11	16	9	30	19	3	85 ± 9
Northwest Gulf	18	-10	-12	6	-1	2	17	-20	88 ± 13
Northeast Gulf	83	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	120 ± 24
Centre and Cabot Strait	89	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	99 ± 19
Mécatina & Belle Isle	83	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	146 ± 17
Magdalen Shallows	83	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	107 ± 17
Baie des Chaleurs	83	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	114 ± 11
Northumberland	83	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	108 ± 14
Laurentian Hermitage	83	6	-1	15	17	36	23	9	105 ± 20
Upper Estuary	104	97	105	192	141	103	105	192	104 ± 12
Estuary	104	97	105	192	141	103	105	192	90 ± 14
Northwest Gulf	92	100	111	104	158	74	124	76	83 ± 19
Northeast Gulf	41	143	141	143	158	141	114	105	95 ± 34
Centre and Cabot Strait	132	126	125	131	144	107	131	89	69 ± 34
Mécatina & Belle Isle	118	106	126	113	191	124	188	96	78 ± 105
Magdalen Shallows	129	118	117	131	177	121	145	91	107 ± 21
Baie des Chaleurs	102	99	117	106	145	106	127	94	95 ± 99
Northumberland	126	116	118	118	160	105	131	91	91 ± 92
Laurentian Hermitage	119	112	126	113	154	110	137	102	104 ± 11
Upper Estuary	104	115	124	117	137	103	108	95	92 ± 95
Estuary	118	109	112	111	141	86	104	85	85 ± 88
Northwest Gulf	110	121	108	161	12	43	82	83	83 ± 83
Northeast Gulf	104	123	139	143	148	105	93	108	108 ± 95
Centre and Cabot Strait	94	146	139	139	148	105	93	108	94 ± 83
Mécatina & Belle Isle	113	109	110	110	147	112	140	81	81 ± 89
Magdalen Shallows	113	106	111	131	149	97	124	137	87 ± 89
Baie des Chaleurs	126	126	111	110	134	116	124	90	91 ± 91
Northumberland	107	111	110	111	134	116	124	90	91 ± 91
Laurentian Hermitage	116	108	118	109	165	130	159	92	73 ± 75
Upper Estuary	124	118	118	124	191	122	133	90	103 ± 83
Estuary	124	133	118	128	172	125	139	98	98 ± 98
Northwest Gulf	124	130	128	129	144	120	131	101	90 ± 100
Northeast Gulf	113	116	123	120	162	124	157	107	94 ± 86
Centre and Cabot Strait	132	121	127	126	161	119	146	120	105 ± 85
Mécatina & Belle Isle	105	108	119	115	125	99	107	96	77 ± 89
Magdalen Shallows	120	128	120	131	151	121	153	94	89 ± 93
Baie des Chaleurs	55	114	113	104	137	104	107	95	88 ± 102
Northumberland	78	91	97	90	141	80	85	85	84 ± 86
Laurentian Hermitage	105	107	118	107	154	89	123	83	77 ± 84
Upper Estuary	89	192	126	106	146	97	153	83	83 ± 89
Estuary	89	192	126	106	146	97	153	83	83 ± 89
Northwest Gulf	116	116	116	116	128	103	121	95	98 ± 98
Northeast Gulf	119	109	120	115	126	103	93	86	92 ± 92
Centre and Cabot Strait	85	88	97	89	119	63	115	74	78 ± 80
Mécatina & Belle Isle	80	94	109	91	160	83	130	86	87 ± 97
Magdalen Shallows	130	111	122	114	150	104	116	97	95 ± 95
Baie des Chaleurs	102	108	112	109	136	100	116	87	81 ± 88
Northumberland	86	83	58	128	66	52	56	72	72 ± 82
Laurentian Hermitage	86	106	85	131	100	80	85	88	88 ± 88
Upper Estuary	67	85	96	84	133	75	80	75	80 ± 80
Estuary	106	111	109	110	151	107	151	107	107 ± 107
Northwest Gulf	106	111	109	110	151	107	151	107	107 ± 107
Northeast Gulf	129	129	126	130	140	123	132	88	102 ± 102
Centre and Cabot Strait	92	119	117	106	142	87	88	84	84 ± 84
Mécatina & Belle Isle	101	109	117	104	163	89	91	92	92 ± 92
Magdalen Shallows	108	116	118	106	165	88	95	77	84 ± 93
Baie des Chaleurs	103	103	123	97	152	81	118	83	105 ± 105
Northumberland	69	96	107	94	128	87	108	87	86 ± 86
Laurentian Hermitage	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105 ± 105
Upper Estuary	87	89	68	81	40	17	67	69	76 ± 76
Estuary	55	88	93	142	50	75	65	78	87 ± 87
Northwest Gulf	61	110	122	101	112	68	81	70	81 ± 109
Northeast Gulf	117	151	150	148	164	126	144	119	113 ± 101
Centre and Cabot Strait	88	103	130	109	188	118	165	91	82 ± 107
Mécatina & Belle Isle	67	97	126	122	175	101	134	100	86 ± 86
Magdalen Shallows	145	131	133	132	173	104	126	106	107 ± 107
Baie des Chaleurs	79	112	125	109	151	94	131	102	104 ± 111
Northumberland	68	106	124	95	133	57	86	83	96 ± 101
Laurentian Hermitage	53	93	98	88	118	73	63	79	82 ± 83
Upper Estuary	176	105	133	94	145	79	109	92	93 ± 93
Estuary	59	153	142	142	161	98	125	108	114 ± 114
Northwest Gulf	96	125	122	115	185	95	125	89	88 ± 94
Northeast Gulf	91	119	124	117	158	106	139	104	101 ± 112
Centre and Cabot Strait	90	147	130	126	143	102	126	107	107 ± 107
Mécatina & Belle Isle	76	118	117	110	131	80	127	88	100 ± 100
Magdalen Shallows	91	125	125	116	141	107	127	100	103 ± 103
Baie des Chaleurs	102	130	141	127	183	167	144	92	97 ± 97
Northumberland	102	127	130	123	200	114	133	91	109 ± 109
Laurentian Hermitage	107	139	128	137	180	109	143	105	113 ± 112
Upper Estuary	94	119	128	120	167	121	137	113	100 ± 97
Estuary	90	124	134	109	163	95	137	113	104 ± 89
Northwest Gulf	69	126	139	112	122	83	96	95	100 ± 111
Northeast Gulf	81	127	120	124	138	89	114	92	90 ± 99
Centre and Cabot Strait	4	126	126	85	132	61	91	94	102 ± 107
Mécatina & Belle Isle	42	115	118	106	138	78	102	94	89 ± 97
Magdalen Shallows	36	90	100	73	109	53	63	80	77 ± 88
Baie des Chaleurs	34	125	135	101	144	49	108	72	76 ± 88
Northumberland	50	91	139	97	162	100	145	83	84 ± 96
Laurentian Hermitage	97	110	113	108	101	56	79	90	99 ± 109
Upper Estuary	71	106	126	107	121	84	79	94	92 ± 92
Estuary	49	63	102	49	102	19	78	74	82 ± 98
Northwest Gulf	54	78	95	68	144	49	106	51	66 ± 100
Northeast Gulf	82	105	121	95	144	81	110	85	83 ± 89
Centre and Cabot Strait	76	110	125	102	127	85	96	82	100 ± 114
Mécatina & Belle Isle	0	76	74	31	102	0	37	58	95 ± 95
Magdalen Shallows	0	68	87	54	89	0	30	52	78 ± 105
Baie des Chaleurs	10	75	91	66	120	41	50	73	85 ± 85
Northumberland	54	109	106	89	104	10	66	50	69 ± 106
Laurentian Hermitage	76	126	141	116	170	104	150	96	107 ± 107
Upper Estuary	95	124	126	122	135	102	97	83	94 ± 80
Estuary	0	79	100	57	121	42	42	74	74 ± 80
Northwest Gulf	25	104	118	70	165	42	91	58	85 ± 110
Northeast Gulf	51	121	124	107	143	59	62	82	101 ± 109
Centre and Cabot Strait	73	110	140	93	183	40	111	65	89 ± 105
Mécatina & Belle Isle	41	36	11	27	4	9	13	8	10 ± 10
Magdalen Shallows	17	12	14	7	8	5	9	3	10 ± 10
Baie des Chaleurs	17	12	14	7	8	5	9	3	10 ± 10
Northumberland	17	12	14	7	8	5	9	3	10 ± 10
Laurentian Hermitage	17	12	14	7	8	5	9	3	10 ± 10

Fig. 28. First and last day of ice occurrence, ice duration and maximum seasonal ice volume by region. The time when ice was first and last seen in days from the beginning of each year is indicated for each region, and the colour code expresses the anomaly based on the 1991–2020 climatology, with blue (cold) representing earlier first occurrence and later last occurrence. The threshold is 5% of the largest ice volume ever recorded in the region. Numbers in the table are the actual day of the year or volume, but the colour coding is according to normalized anomalies based on the climatology of each region. Duration is the numbers of days that the threshold was exceeded. All results based on weekly data.




Fig. 29. Ice thickness maps for 2020 for the week of the year with the maximum volume including the portion covering the Scotian Shelf (upper right panel), with the maximum volume on the Scotian Shelf (middle right panel) and similarly for the 1991–2020 climatology of the weekly maximum (upper and middle left panels). Note that these maps reflect the ice thickness distribution on that week, and not the maximum observed at any given location during the year. That information is shown by the lower panels, showing the 1991–2020 climatology and 2020 distribution of the thickest ice recorded during the season at any location.




Fig. 30. Time series of the 2019-2020 daily mean ice volume for the Gulf of St. Lawrence and Scotian Shelf (top panel, black line) and for the Scotian Shelf al(bottom panel, black line). Also shown are the 1991-2020 climatological mean volume plus and minus 0.5 and 1 SD (dark blue area and dashed line), the minimum and maximum span of 1969-2020 observations (light blue) and the date and volumes of 1969-2020 seasonal maximums (blue dots). The black thick lines on the left indicates the mean volume plus and minus 0.5 SD of the annual maximum ice volume, which is higher than the peak of the mean daily ice volume distribution.




Fig. 31. Estimated weekly maximum ice volume in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (upper panel) and on the Scotian Shelf seaward of Cabot Strait defined by its narrowest crossing (lower panel). Dots show January-April averages of combined Gulf and Scotian Shelf volumes. Scorecards show normalized anomalies for the Gulf, combined Gulf and Shelf, combined Gulf and Shelf January to April average, and Shelf-only annual maximum volumes from weekly ice data. The mean and standard deviation are indicated on the right side using the 1991–2020 climatology.




Fig. 32. Seasonal maximum ice volume and area including the portion on the Scotian Shelf (excluding ice less than 15 cm thick), ice season duration and December-to-March air temperature anomaly (Figure adapted from Hammill and Galbraith 2012, but here not excluding small floes and adding February and March data to the air temperature anomalies). All sea-ice products are based on weekly data. Mean duration obtained as spatial average of Fig. 27, excluding the Scotian Shelf, with zeros counted if no ice is present but the climatology has some. Linear relations indicate losses of 18 km³, 31,000 km² and 13 days of sea-ice season for each 1°C increase in winter air temperature (R^2 of 0.73, 0.79 and 0.82 respectively).




Fig. 33. Winter surface layer characteristics from the March 2020 survey compared with climatological means: surface water temperature (upper panel), temperature difference between surface water temperature and the freezing point (middle panel), and salinity (lower panel). Symbols are coloured according to the value observed at the station, using the same colour palette as the interpolated image. A good match is seen between the interpolation and the station observations where the station colours blend into the background. Black symbols indicate missing or bad data. The climatologies are based on 1996–2020 for salinity but exclude 2010 as an outlier for temperature and temperature above freezing.




Fig. 34. Winter surface layer characteristics from the March 2020 survey compared with climatological means: estimates of the thickness of the Labrador Shelf water intrusion (upper panels), cold layer ($T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$, $T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$) thickness (middle panels), and maps indicating where the cold layer ($T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$) reaches the bottom (in brown; lower panels). Station symbols are coloured according to the observed values as in Fig. 33. For the lower panels, the stations where the cold layer reached the bottom are indicated with filled circles while open circles represent stations where the layer did not reach the bottom. Integrated volumes are indicated for the first six panels (including an approximation for the Estuary but excluding the Strait of Belle Isle). The climatologies are based on 1997–2020 for the Labrador Shelf water intrusion, 1996–2020 for the cold layer ($T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$) but excludes 2010 for $T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$.




Fig. 35. Estimated volume of cold and saline Labrador Shelf water that flowed into the Gulf over the winter through the Strait of Belle Isle. The bottom panel shows the volume as a percentage of total cold-water volume ($<-1^{\circ}\text{C}$). The numbers in the boxes are actual values colour-coded according to their 1997–2020 climatology anomaly. Coverage of Mecatina Trough was insufficient in 1996 to provide an estimated volume.




Fig. 36. Left panel: winter surface cold ($T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $T < 0^{\circ}\text{C}$) layer volume (excluding the Estuary and the Strait of Belle Isle) time series (black and grey lines) and summer CIL index (blue dashed line). Right panel: Relation between summer CIL index and winter cold-water volume with $T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$ (regression for 1996–2020 data pairs, excluding 1998 [see Galbraith 2006] as well as the 2010 and 2011 mild winters). Note that the CIL scale in the left panel is reversed.




Fig. 37. Cold intermediate layer thickness ($T < 0^\circ\text{C}$, top panels; $T < 1^\circ\text{C}$, middle panels) and minimum temperature (bottom panels) in August and September 2019 (right) and 1991–2020 climatology (left). Station symbols are colour-coded according to their CIL thickness and minimum temperature. Numbers in the upper and middle panels are integrated CIL volumes and in the lower panels are monthly average temperatures.




Fig. 38. Volume of the CIL colder than 0°C (blue) and colder than 1°C (red) in August and September (Data mostly in September on Magdalen Shallows and August elsewhere). The volume of the CIL colder than 1°C in November for available years since 2006 is also shown for the St. Lawrence Estuary (black dashed line). Red and blue dashed lines are 1991–2020 averages and rectangles on right side show 1991–2020 mean \pm 0.5 SD.




Fig. 39. CIL volume (top panel) delimited by 0°C (in blue) and 1°C (in red), and minimum temperature index (bottom panel) in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The volumes are integrals of each of the annual interpolated thickness grids such as those shown in the top panels of Fig. 37 excluding Mécatina Trough and the Strait of Belle Isle. Rectangles on the right side show 1991–2020 mean ± 0.5 SD. In the lower panel, the black line is the updated Gilbert and Pettigrew (1997) index interpolated to 15 July (with dashed lines showing mean ± 0.5 SD) and the green line is the spatial average of each of the annual interpolated grids such as those shown in the two bottom panels of Fig. 37, excluding Mécatina Trough, the Strait of Belle Isle and the Magdalen Shallows. The numbers in the boxes are normalized anomalies relative to 1991–2020 climatologies.




Fig. 40. Temperature minimum of the CIL spatially averaged for selected areas where the CIL minimum temperature can be clearly identified. The spatial average of the November CIL temperature minimum for available years since 2006 is also shown for the St. Lawrence Estuary (black dashed line). Blue dashed lines are 1991–2020 averages and rectangles on right side of panels show 1991–2020 mean \pm 0.5 SD.




Fig. 41. Winter and summertime CIL related properties. The top block shows the scorecard time series for Dec-Jan-Feb-March air temperature (Fig. 5), yearly maximum sea-ice volume (Gulf + Scotian Shelf), January-April average sea-ice volume, winter (March) cold-layer (<-1°C) volume, volume of Labrador Shelf Water intrusion into the Gulf observed in March, the August volume of cold water (<0°C) observed in the Mécatina Trough and the Gilbert and Pettigrew (1997) CIL index. Labels in parentheses have their colour coding reversed (blue for high values). The second block shows scorecard time series for August–September CIL volumes (<1°C) for regions of the Gulf. The third block shows the scorecard time series for the bottom areas of the Magdalen Shallows covered by waters colder than 0, 1, 2, and 3°C during the June and September survey. The last block shows the November survey CIL volume (<1°C) and average CIL minimum temperature in the Estuary. Numbers in cells express anomalies in units of standard deviation, except for bottom areas which are expressed in units of area (×10³ km²) (because of the occurrence of zeros).




Fig. 42. August-September bottom temperature 1991–2020 climatology (top), 2020 observations (middle) and anomaly (bottom).




Fig. 43. Time series of the bottom areas covered by different temperature bins in June (top) and September (bottom) for the Magdalen Shallows, Baie des Chaleurs and Northumberland Strait (September only). Limited data from August are included with September panels. There are no data in June 2020.




Fig. 44. Time series of the bottom areas covered by different temperature bins in August and September for regions of the northern Gulf. The panels are separated by a black horizontal line into shallow (<100m) and deep (>100 m) areas to distinguish between warmer waters above and below the CIL. The shallow areas are shown on top using the area scale on the right-hand side and have warmer waters shown starting from the top end. The deep areas are shown below the horizontal line and have warmer waters starting at the bottom end. The CIL areas above and below 100 m meet near the horizontal line.




Fig. 45. Map of the deep temperature maximum found typically between 200 and 300 m, 2013–2020. Maps are interpolated from August-September data available for each year. For 2013, 2017 and 2020 casts made in Cabot Strait during the fall survey were used to fill August sampling gaps. The black and white contours are for 7 and 8°C.

	300-m Temperature												Deep Temperature Maximum												200-m Temperature												Gulf Avg T												Mean ± S.D.
	Estuary	Northwest Gulf	Centre	Cabot Strait	Laurentian Hermitage	Laurentian Mouth	Estuary	Northwest Gulf	Centre	Cabot Strait	Laurentian Hermitage	Laurentian Mouth	Estuary	Northwest Gulf	Centre	Cabot Strait	Laurentian Hermitage	Laurentian Mouth	Estuary	Northwest Gulf	Centre	Cabot Strait	Laurentian Hermitage	Laurentian Mouth	150 m	200 m	250 m	Deep T max	300 m	Estuary	Northwest Gulf	Centre	Cabot Strait	Laurentian Hermitage	Laurentian Mouth	150 m	200 m	250 m	Deep T max	300 m									
1975	5.04	5.30	5.22	4.83	4.53	4.29							5.93	5.32	4.95	4.20	4.20	2.93	3.92	3.63	4.73	4.24	2.91	4.82	4.91	4.89	4.23	2.62	4.73	4.24	2.91	4.82	4.91	4.89	4.23	2.62	2.69°C ± 0.65												
1980	6.29	5.87	5.11	4.98	4.74	4.32							7.44	6.81	6.10	5.40	4.94	4.65	4.39	4.90	4.36	4.48	3.91	2.87	4.91	4.89	4.92	4.23	2.62	4.90	4.36	4.48	3.91	2.87	4.59°C ± 0.60														
1985	4.61	5.83	5.43	5.09	4.90	4.69							6.38	5.97	4.88	4.38	4.14	4.40	3.69	7.33	5.87	4.88	4.38	4.14	4.40	3.69	5.01	4.97	4.92	4.28	2.01	5.01	4.97	4.92	4.28	2.01	5.50°C ± 0.46												
1990	6.31	5.59	5.95	5.11	4.94	4.99							6.99	6.37	4.72	3.33	3.31	3.95	3.55	6.38	5.91	4.29	3.33	3.31	3.95	3.55	5.10	4.97	4.92	4.28	2.01	5.10	4.97	4.92	4.28	2.01	5.64°C ± 0.46												
1995	6.04	6.00	6.17	5.30	5.44	5.20	5.05						6.24	5.63	5.52	4.88	5.09	2.79	6.24	5.63	5.52	4.88	5.09	2.79	5.56	5.68	4.99	2.77	3.44	5.56	5.68	4.99	2.77	5.66°C ± 0.46															
2000	6.36	6.20	6.39	6.63	4.15	3.36							7.44	6.81	6.10	5.40	4.94	4.65	4.39	7.44	6.81	6.10	5.40	4.94	4.65	4.39	6.00	5.93	5.18	2.25	6.00	5.93	5.18	2.25	6.51°C ± 0.46														
2005	6.23	5.94	6.36	5.17	5.27							6.29	6.20	4.75	5.25	5.28	4.71	6.29	6.20	4.75	5.25	5.28	4.71	5.52	5.33	4.44	2.78	5.52	5.33	4.44	2.78	6.51°C ± 0.46																	
2010	6.11	5.85	6.70	5.61	5.63							6.24	5.94	4.43	4.74	4.89	4.63	4.70	6.24	5.94	4.43	4.74	4.89	4.63	4.70	5.33	5.23	4.55	2.92	5.33	5.23	4.55	2.92	6.51°C ± 0.46															
2015	5.43	5.49	5.41	5.52	5.25	5.35						6.26	5.15	4.45	4.18	4.04	3.23	6.26	5.15	4.45	4.18	4.04	3.23	5.58	5.16	4.25	2.49	5.58	5.16	4.25	2.49	6.51°C ± 0.46																	
2020	7.41	6.52	6.31	5.68	5.29						7.16	6.50	5.11	4.59	4.58	3.54	3.31	7.16	6.50	5.11	4.59	4.58	3.54	3.31	5.33	5.23	4.55	2.92	5.33	5.23	4.55	2.92	6.51°C ± 0.46																
	5.42	5.94	5.95	5.75	6.04	4.91						6.62	5.75	4.32	5.08	4.62	4.25	6.62	5.75	4.32	5.08	4.62	4.25	5.76	5.63	4.96	2.53	5.76	5.63	4.96	2.53	6.51°C ± 0.46																	
	5.37	5.85	5.70	5.67	5.63	5.23						6.34	5.68	5.08	5.05	4.94	4.63	4.93	6.34	5.68	5.08	5.05	4.94	4.63	4.93	5.65	5.65	4.84	2.42	5.65	5.65	4.84	2.42	6.51°C ± 0.46															
	5.43	5.82	5.26	5.65	5.15	5.26						6.45	5.39	4.45	4.78	4.41	4.63	4.07	6.45	5.39	4.45	4.78	4.41	4.63	4.07	5.53	5.46	4.79	2.95	5.53	5.46	4.79	2.95	6.51°C ± 0.46															
	5.80	5.63	5.24	5.39	5.29	5.14						5.95	4.94	4.17	4.23	4.31	3.82	3.83	5.95	4.94	4.17	4.23	4.31	3.82	3.83	5.37	5.38	5.11	4.18	2.29	5.37	5.38	5.11	4.18	2.29	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.47	5.37	5.24	5.25	1.64	5.4						4.83	4.06	3.00	3.47	3.48	3.66	2.94	4.83	4.06	3.00	3.47	3.48	3.66	2.94	5.11	4.91	4.72	3.39	1.38	5.11	4.91	4.72	3.39	1.38	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.90	5.48	5.30	5.11	4.99	4.71						6.74	6.10	4.90	4.07	3.78	3.71	3.28	6.74	6.10	4.90	4.07	3.78	3.71	3.28	5.17	4.88	4.70	3.33	1.48	5.17	4.88	4.70	3.33	1.48	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	6.41	6.00	5.82	5.38	4.93	4.55						7.54	6.10	4.90	4.07	3.78	3.71	3.28	7.54	6.10	4.90	4.07	3.78	3.71	3.28	5.32	5.20	5.05	3.92	1.91	5.32	5.20	5.05	3.92	1.91	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	6.11	6.16	6.19	5.84	1.24	5.2						5.12	5.94	4.78	4.04	4.07	3.97	3.54	5.12	5.94	4.78	4.04	4.07	3.97	3.54	5.61	5.25	4.48	4.43	2.53	5.61	5.25	4.48	4.43	2.53	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	6.31	6.78	6.18	5.58	1.34	4.72						6.24	5.24	4.23	3.85	3.98	3.70	3.50	6.24	5.24	4.23	3.85	3.98	3.70	3.50	5.41	5.26	5.11	3.86	1.69	5.41	5.26	5.11	3.86	1.69	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	6.41	5.96	5.66	5.72	1.44	4.70						5.78	5.21	4.10	3.63	3.50	3.03	3.24	5.78	5.21	4.10	3.63	3.50	3.03	3.24	5.37	5.15	4.91	3.91	1.76	5.37	5.15	4.91	3.91	1.76	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.60	5.92	5.80	5.72	2.74	4.83						6.23	6.15	5.27	4.74	4.42	2.23	3.33	6.23	6.15	5.27	4.74	4.42	2.23	3.33	5.37	5.35	4.24	5.42	4.44	5.37	5.35	4.24	5.42	4.44	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.62	4.48	4.23	3.95	3.04	4.86						6.41	5.62	4.62	4.37	3.99	1.43	3.84	6.41	5.62	4.62	4.37	3.99	1.43	3.84	3.35	3.35	3.25	2.82	3.20	3.35	3.35	3.25	2.82	3.20	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	6.12	5.96	5.71	5.45	3.15	5.09						6.15	4.65	3.48	2.05	4.90	6.15	4.65	3.48	2.05	4.90	6.15	4.65	3.48	2.05	4.90	3.47	3.47	3.47	4.73	1.12	3.47	3.47	3.47	4.73	1.12	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	5.48	5.93	5.75	5.65	2.94	4.91						6.07	5.55	4.91	1.54	4.74	4.23	4.97	6.07	5.55	4.91	1.54	4.74	4.23	4.97	5.53	5.52	4.24	6.12	6.11	5.53	5.52	4.24	6.12	6.11	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	6.54	6.09	5.84	5.65	3.85	5.06						6.21	5.80	5.70	3.25	5.05	6.21	5.80	5.70	3.25	5.05	6.21	5.80	5.70	3.25	5.05	5.59	5.66	5.57	4.76	2.99	5.59	5.66	5.57	4.76	2.99	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	5.99	5.94	5.90	5.70	5.47	5.20						5.99	5.72	5.60	3.75	5.15	5.99	5.72	5.60	3.75	5.15	5.99	5.72	5.60	3.75	5.15	5.65	5.60	5.55	4.68	2.57	5.65	5.60	5.55	4.68	2.57	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	5.32	5.67	5.63	5.69	5.48	5.11						6.03	5.73	5.53	5.44	5.10	6.03	5.73	5.53	5.44	5.10	6.03	5.73	5.53	5.44	5.10	5.64	5.60	5.47	4.30	2.29	5.64	5.60	5.47	4.30	2.29	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	5.83	5.56	5.64	5.52	4.75	5.15						5.88	6.03	5.30	4.35	4.14	3.74	3.05	5.88	6.03	5.30	4.35	4.14	3.74	3.05	5.50	5.50	5.33	4.39	2.64	5.50	5.50	5.33	4.39	2.64	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.93	5.64	5.67	5.52	4.25	5.12						7.29	6.07	5.48	5.02	5.02	4.66	4.09	7.29	6.07	5.48	5.02	5.02	4.66	4.09	5.50	5.50	5.46	4.91	3.29	5.50	5.50	5.46	4.91	3.29	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.21	5.39	5.46	5.53	4.05	5.17						5.84	5.92	4.77	4.85	4.54	4.65	4.28	5.84	5.92	4.77	4.85	4.54	4.65	4.28	5.41	5.41	5.41	4.91	2.79	5.41	5.41	5.41	4.91	2.79	6.51°C ± 0.46													
	5.48	5.52	5.47	5.45	3.65	5.06						5.62	5.45	5.23	2.65	5.07	5.62	5.45	5.23	2.65	5.07	5.62	5.45	5.23	2.65	5.07	5.28	5.15	5.03	4.18	2.50	5.28	5.15	5.03	4.18	2.50	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	6.78	5.54	5.33	5.31	5.24	5.02						6.35	5.45	5.12	5.08	4.77	6.35	5.45	5.12	5.08	4.77	6.35	5.45	5.12	5.08	4.77	5.31	5.32	5.08	4.18	2.45	5.31	5.32	5.08	4.18	2.45	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	6.46	5.96	5.99	5.65	2.14	4.83						6.41	5.71	5.46	2.04	4.89	6.41	5.71	5.46	2.04	4.89	6.41	5.71	5.46	2.04	4.89	5.54	5.15	5.39	4.52	2.87	5.54	5.15	5.39	4.52	2.87	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	6.70	6.25	6.71	5.84	5.40	4.96						6.04	6.03	5.06	3.46	5.19	6.04	6.03	5.06	3.46	5.19	6.04	6.03	5.06	3.46	5.19	5.75	5.45	5.70	5.04	4.31	5.75	5.45	5.70	5.04	4.31	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	6.06	6.05	5.75	5.90	5.52	5.11						6.68	6.29	6.25	5.75	5.35	6.68	6.29	6.25	5.75	5.35	6.68	6.29	6.25	5.75	5.35	5.87	5.87	5.88	5.34	3.39	5.87	5.87	5.88	5.34	3.39	6.51°C ± 0.46												
	7.01	6.60	6.91	5.92	6.25	5.21						6.86	6.99	6.16	5.01	5.75	4.90	6.86	6.99	6.16	5.01	5.75	4.90	6.02	6.06	6.06	5.30	4.01	6.02	6.06	6.06	5.30	4.01	6.51°C ± 0.46															
	7.45	7.45	6.88	6.29	3.45	4.93						7.81	6.41	6.12	3.85	5.56	7.81	6.41	6.12	3.85	5.56	7.81	6.41	6.12	3.85	5.56	6.18	6.26	6.11	3.83	3.93	6.18	6.26	6.11	3.83	3.93	6												

		Gulf Avg S												Mean ± S.D.								
Gulf Avg S	150 m	-0.5	0.0	0.5	1.4	-2.3	-0.7	-0.6	0.9	-2.3	-2.9	-1.5	-0.3	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.9	33.46 ± 0.14				
	200 m	-1.0	-1.5	-1.4	-0.2	-1.0	-0.5	0.4	1.4	-1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.4	-1.6	-0.7	-0.5	1.0	34.15 ± 0.12				
	250 m	-0.3	1.5	1.8	2.8	0.8	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.6	0.6	0.0	0.5	1.9	34.53 ± 0.08				
	300 m	-1.1	-0.9	0.3	0.1	-1.1	-0.9	0.3	0.1	-0.5	-0.3	0.3	1.6	-0.3	-0.9	0.6	0.6	34.69 ± 0.06				
200-m Yearly Salinity	Estuary	-0.7	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-0.4	0.5	-0.6	-0.3	0.7	0.1	-1.8	-1.6	-1.7	-0.6	-1.9	0.1	33.97 ± 0.14		
	Northwest Gulf	-0.1	0.4	2.0	2.1	-0.8	-1.1	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.7	-0.5	1.8	-0.8	-0.3	0.2	0.7	-0.5	1.8	-0.8	-0.3	34.11 ± 0.09
	Northeast Gulf	0.2	1.5	-1.6	1.3	0.2	1.5	-1.6	1.3	0.7	-2.1	0.7	0.9	0.7	-2.1	0.7	0.9	0.7	-2.1	0.7	0.9	34.00 ± 0.14
	Centre	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34.10 ± 0.12
	Cabot Strait	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34.19 ± 0.15
	Laurentian Hermitage	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34.36 ± 0.19
300-m Yearly Salinity	Laurentian Mouth	-0.7	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-0.4	0.5	-0.6	-0.3	0.7	0.1	-1.8	-1.6	-1.7	-0.6	-1.9	0.1	34.62 ± 0.25		
	Estuary	-1.9	-1.5	-0.3	1.5	0.3	0.2	-0.8	-1.2	-2.0	-2.4	-1.3	-1.1	-1.5	-2.4	-1.4	-1.1	-2.1	-0.6	34.43 ± 0.08		
	Northwest Gulf	0.9	-0.8	2.0	1.5	-1.0	-1.1	0.9	-0.8	2.0	1.5	-1.0	-1.1	0.9	-0.8	2.0	1.5	-1.0	-1.1	34.62 ± 0.06		
	Centre	-0.9	-0.6	-1.7	-2.3	-1.1	0.6	-0.9	-0.6	-1.7	-2.3	-1.1	0.6	-0.9	-0.6	-1.7	-2.3	-1.1	0.6	34.69 ± 0.06		
	Cabot Strait	-0.1	0.0	0.7	-2.6	1.1	-1.4	-0.1	0.0	0.7	-2.6	1.1	-1.4	-0.1	0.0	0.7	-2.6	1.1	-1.4	34.72 ± 0.07		
	Laurentian Hermitage	-0.2	0.5	2.2	-2.1	-0.2	0.5	2.2	-2.1	-0.2	0.5	2.2	-2.1	-0.2	0.5	2.2	-2.1	-0.2	0.5	2.2	-2.1	34.77 ± 0.08
Laurentian Mouth	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	34.81 ± 0.12	
	1975	-1.9	-1.5	-0.3	1.5	0.3	0.2	-0.8	-1.2	-2.0	-2.4	-1.3	-1.1	-1.5	-2.4	-1.4	-1.1	-2.1	-0.6			
	1980	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	0.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.6	-0.6	
	1985	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	0.5	1.1	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	0.5	1.1	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	0.5	1.1	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	0.5	1.1	
	1990	-1.8	-1.1	0.4	1.3	1.6	-0.4	-1.8	-1.1	0.4	1.3	1.6	-0.4	-1.8	-1.1	0.4	1.3	1.6	-0.4			
	1995	-1.5	-1.3	-1.9	-0.8	0.0	-1.5	-1.3	-1.9	-0.8	0.0	-1.5	-1.3	-1.9	-0.8	0.0	-1.5	-1.3	-1.9	-0.8	0.0	
	2000	0.0	-0.2	-0.7	-1.0	-1.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.2	-0.7	-1.0	-1.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.2	-0.7	-1.0	-1.0	-0.4			
	2005	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.5			
	2010	-0.4	0.5	0.3	1.0	1.3	1.1	-0.4	0.5	0.3	1.0	1.3	1.1	-0.4	0.5	0.3	1.0	1.3	1.1			
	2015	-1.2	-0.9	-0.3	-0.2	0.2	1.2	-1.2	-0.9	-0.3	-0.2	0.2	1.2	-1.2	-0.9	-0.3	-0.2	0.2	1.2			
	2020	2.4	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.3	2.4	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.3	2.4	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.3			

Fig. 47. Deep layer salinity. Gulf averages for salinity are shown for 150, 200, 250, and 300 m. Regional averages are shown for 200 and 300 m. The numbers on the right are the 1991–2020 climatological means and standard deviations. The numbers in the boxes are normalized anomalies.




Fig. 48. Layer-averaged temperature and salinity time series for the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The temperature and salinity panels show the 150 m, 200 m, and 300 m annual averages and the horizontal lines are 1991–2020 means. Sloped lines show linear regressions for temperature and salinity at 300 m of respectively 2.3°C and 0.3 per century.




Fig. 49. Mean temperature profiles observed in each region of the Gulf during the March 2020 survey. The shaded area represents the 1991–2020 (but mostly 1996–2020) climatological monthly mean ± 0.5 SD. Mean profiles for 2019 are also shown for comparison.




Fig. 50. Mean temperature profiles observed in each region of the Gulf during August and September 2020. The shaded area represents the 1991–2020 climatological monthly mean ± 0.5 SD for August for all regions except the Magdalen Shallows for which September is shown. Mean profiles for 2019 are also shown for comparison.




Fig. 51. Mean temperature profiles observed in each region of the Gulf during the October 2020 AZMP survey. The shaded area represents the 1991–2020 climatological monthly mean ± 0.5 SD. Mean profiles for 2019 are also shown for comparison.




Fig. 52. Depth-layer monthly average temperature summary for months during which the Gulf-wide oceanographic surveys took place in 2019 and 2020; there was no survey in June 2020 due to Covid 19. The colour-coding is according to the temperature anomaly relative to the monthly 1991–2020 climatology of each region.




Fig. 53. Depth-layer monthly average stratification and salinity summary for months of the Gulf-wide oceanographic surveys in 2019 and 2020. Stratification is defined as the density difference between 50 m and the surface and its colour-coding is reversed (blue for positive anomaly because usually associated with low surface salinity).




Fig. 54. Depth-averaged currents from 0 to 20 m for each three-month period of 2020. Vectors drawn in blue are towards the East and those drawn in red are towards the West.




Fig. 55. Depth-averaged currents from 20 to 100 m for each three-month period of 2020. Vectors drawn in blue are towards the East and those drawn in red are towards the West.




Fig. 56. Depth-averaged currents from 100 m to the bottom for each three-month period of 2020. Vectors drawn in blue are towards the East and those drawn in red are towards the West.





Fig. 57. Monthly averaged modelled transports and estuarine ratio across sections of the Gulf of St. Lawrence since 2006. The numbers on the right are the 2006–2020 means and standard deviations. The numbers in the boxes are normalized anomalies for transport panels, but ratio values are indicated in the right panel. Colours indicate the magnitude of the anomaly. Sv (Sverdrup) are units of transport equal to $10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.




Fig. 58. Monthly and annual averaged modelled transports across sections of the Gulf of St. Lawrence since 2006. The numbers on the right are the 2006–2020 means and standard deviations, with positive values toward east and north. The numbers in the boxes are normalized anomalies. Colours indicate the magnitude of the anomaly (e.g., negative anomalies are still shown in red when the mean transport is negative across the section).





Fig. 59. Sampling frequency and positions of the AZMP stations Rimouski and Shediac Valley. Gray overlay in 2020 at Shediac Valley shows span of 212 temperature and salinity profiles made by the PMZA-VAS automatic oceanographic buoy between 2020-06-11 and 2020-11-13. The grey overlay at Rimouski station shows 53 full depth temperature and salinity profiles made by the PMZA-RIKI automatic oceanographic buoy between 2019-05-19 and 2020-11-16.

Shediac Valley - Temperature



Salinity




Fig. 61. Isotherm (top) and isohaline (bottom) time series at the Shediac Valley station; tick marks above indicate casts (mostly from automatic buoys). Scorecard tables are monthly layer averages colour-coded according to the anomaly relative to the 1991–2020 monthly climatology for the station (input to climatology is sparse prior to 1999). Higher than normal stratification is coded in blue (associated with low surface salinity). The box insets and 20, 30, 50 and 75 m monthly layer averages are mostly from mooring data. Internal tide oscillations are smoothed out.




Fig. 63. Water temperatures in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. May–November SST averaged over the Gulf excluding the Estuary (1982–2019, red line), completed by a proxy based on April–November air temperature (1873–1981, red dashed line; average of all AHCCD stations in Fig. 4 but excluding Estuary stations at Baie Comeau and Mont-Joli). August SST is shown using temperature scale offset by 6.3°C; its proxy is based on the average air temperature in July and August. Layer-averaged temperature for the Gulf of St. Lawrence at 150, 200 and 300 m (green lines). Cold intermediate layer minimum temperature index in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (blue line). SST air temperature proxy is similar to that of Galbraith et al. (2012). Climatological averages based on the 1991–2020 period are indicated by thin lines labeled on the right side, and half the standard deviation is shown by vertical bars on the right side. Figure adapted from Benoit et al. (2012).




Fig. 64. Surface, intermediate (and sea-ice) and deep indicators used in the composite climate index (Fig. 65). The SST spring and fall timing are for 12°C.




Fig. 65. Composite climate indices (white lines and dots) derived by summing various normalized anomalies from different parts of the environment (colored boxes stacked above the abscissa are positive anomalies, and below are negative). Top panel sums anomalies representing shallow temperature anomalies, middle panel sums intermediate depth temperature anomalies and sea-ice (all related to winter formation), and bottom panel sums deep temperature anomalies. Each index is a sum of four normalized anomalies, and that time series is shown renormalized again at the bottom of each panel.




Fig. 66. March 2021 surface cold layer characteristics: surface water temperature (upper left), temperature difference with the freezing point (upper right), salinity (second row left), estimate of the thickness of the Labrador Shelf water intrusion (second row right), and cold layer ($T < -1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $< 0^{\circ}\text{C}$) thicknesses and where they reach bottom. The symbols are coloured according to the value observed at the station, using the same colour palette as the interpolated image. A good match is seen between the interpolation and the station observations where the station colours blend into the background.