Ecosystems and Oceans Science

Sciences des écosystèmes et des océans

**Central and Arctic Region** 

Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Science Advisory Report 2017/010

# ASSESSMENT OF NORTHERN SHRIMP, *Pandalus borealis*, AND STRIPED SHRIMP, *Pandalus montagui*, IN THE EASTERN AND WESTERN ASSESSMENT ZONES, FEBRUARY 2017



Top: Northern Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) Bottom: Striped Shrimp (Pandalus montagui) Photo: Fisheries Oceans Canada, Newfoundland and Labrador Region.




Figure 1. Eastern and Western Assessment Zones. Boundaries of the Nunavut, Nunavik and Nunatsiavut land claims areas are shown in red.

#### Context:

Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO)
Resource Management (RM) has requested
Science advice on the status of the two
species of shrimp, Northern Shrimp (Pandalus
borealis) and Striped Shrimp (Pandalus
montagui) in the waters adjacent to Nunavut.
Both species in the Eastern and Western
Assessment Zones (EAZ and WAZ) were last
assessed in 2015 (DFO 2015) and updated in
2016 (DFO 2016). Assessments are carried
out every two years with updates in the
intervening years.

The EAZ and WAZ were adopted in 2011 (DFO 2011) as the basis for setting Total Allowable Catch (TAC) for each species within the management areas adjacent to Nunavut. In the 2013/14 fishing season, a new management system was implemented with three new Shrimp Fishing Areas (SFAs), Nunavut, Nunavik and Davis Strait, established to reflect the three jurisdictions present within this area. These new SFAs were further subdivided into management units which fall entirely within either the EAZ or WAZ.

This assessment follows the framework developed in 2007 for Northern Shrimp off Labrador and the northeastern coast of Newfoundland (DFO 2007a). A series of fishery-independent surveys and fishery data formed the basis of the current assessment.

This Science Advisory Report is from the February 16-17, 2017 Assessment of Northern and Striped Shrimp. Additional publications from this meeting will be posted on the DFO Science Advisory Schedule as they become available.



#### **SUMMARY**

- The assessment includes the 2015 and 2016 survey and fishery data.
- In the Western Assessment Zone (WAZ), the 2014 survey began a new time series, not directly comparable with previous surveys because no trawl standardization between the DFO/Cosmos and Northern Shrimp Research Foundation (NSRF)-DFO/Campelen surveys has taken place. Because the time series is so short, trends cannot be inferred.
- In the WAZ, the resource is currently not assessed with an Integrated Fisheries Management Plan (IFMP) Precautionary Approach (PA) framework. At least two additional surveys are needed prior to establishing the PA framework.
- Survey biomass, fishery data, and fishery exploitation rate indices are used to assess Pandalus borealis and Pandalus montagui.
- In 2016, the near-bottom average water temperature (-0.4 °C) in the WAZ was the lowest in the survey time series.

#### Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis

- Total catch varied without trend around 6,000 t from 1997 through 2016/17. Catch statistics in 2016/17 are preliminary.
- The fishable biomass index varied without trend around the long-term mean (64,954 t) and was 65,570 t in 2016.
- The female spawning stock biomass (SSB) index varied without trend around the long-term mean (40,125 t) and was 34,827 t in 2016.
- The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 8.4% with 59% of the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) taken. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 9,488 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 14.5%.
- The resource is currently in the Healthy Zone within the IFMP PA framework.

# Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui

- Total catch in 2016/17 was 213 t, 25% of the 840 t TAC. Catch statistics in 2016/17 are preliminary.
- The fishable biomass index varied without trend around the long-term mean (12,713 t) and was 13,792 t in 2016.
- The female SSB index varied without trend around the long-term mean (9,037 t) and was 10,056 t in 2016.
- The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 1.5% with 25% of the TAC taken. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 840 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 6.1%.
- The status of the resource within the PA framework is uncertain due to the wide fluctuations in the female SSB index. As a result, caution is advised when setting the TAC.

#### Western Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis

• Total catch in 2016/17 was 641 t, which is 31% of the 2,080 t TAC. Catch statistics in 2016/17 are preliminary.

- The fishable biomass index declined from 28,532 t in 2015 to 13,116 t in 2016.
- The female SSB index declined from 14,710 t in 2015 to 8,015 t in 2016.
- The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 4.9% with 31% of the TAC taken. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 2,080 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 15.9%.

# Western Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui

- Total catch in 2016/17 was 6,071 t, which is 99% of the 6,138 t TAC. Catch statistics in 2016/17 are preliminary.
- The fishable biomass index was 55.194 t<sup>1</sup> in 2015 and 31.724 t in 2016.
- The female SSB index was 27,324 t in 2015 and 18,691 t in 2016.
- The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 19.1% with 99% of the TAC taken. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 6,138 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 19.3%.

# **BACKGROUND**

# **Species Biology**

Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) is found in the Northwest Atlantic from Baffin Bay to the Gulf of Maine, and Striped Shrimp (*P. montagui*) is found from Davis Strait south to the Bay of Fundy. Both species have preferred depth and temperature distributions. *Pandalus montagui* prefers cooler water (-1 to 2 °C) than *P. borealis* (0 to 4 °C). In the assessment area, these cooler waters tend to occur in shallower depths. The bulk of the biomass of *P. borealis* is located at depths 300–500 m, while *P. montagui* occur mainly at depths 200–500 m. Northern Shrimp are associated with soft substrates whereas Striped Shrimp prefer harder bottoms.

Both species of shrimp are protandric hermaphrodites, functioning as males early in their lives then changing sex and reproducing as females for the remainder of their lives. Females usually produce eggs once a year in the late summer-fall and carry them, attached to their abdomen, through the winter until the spring, when they hatch. Newly hatched shrimp spend three to four months as pelagic larvae. At the end of this period they move to the bottom and take up the life style of the adults. Both species migrate upwards into the water column during the night. The migration consists mainly of males and smaller females. Shrimp are opportunistic feeders on or near the sea floor and in the water column. Shrimp ageing is uncertain but shrimp in the north are thought to live five to eight years. Growth rates and maturation are likely slower in the northern populations. *Pandalus* shrimp are important forage species.

# **Fishery**

The fishery is managed by a TAC which is divided into quotas for the 17 offshore license holders and special allocations for Nunavut and Nunavik interests. Changes to the management of the fishery in what were SFAs 2 and 3 created new SFAs and Management Units beginning with the 2013/14 fishing season (Figure 2). The 17 offshore license holders have access to fishing grounds in Davis Strait. Their quota is further subdivided by enterprise allocation with each receiving a 1/17 share per license. Nunavut and Nunavik each have quotas that can be fished anywhere within either of their two land claims areas. Nunavut also has quota that can be fished within management unit Davis Strait-East. Nunavut Wildlife Management Board (NWMB)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Erratum April 2017 – 49,582 t now reads 55,194 t

and Nunavik Marine Region Wildlife Board (NMRWB) control the sub-allocation of their quotas. All fishing to date has been conducted by large vessels with 100% observer coverage.

Fishing gear consists of single and, more recently, twin shrimp trawls requiring a minimum codend mesh size of 40 mm and Nordmøre separator grate (maximum 28 mm bar spacing). Since 2003, the management year has been 1 April to 31 March. The fishing season is limited by the extent of sea ice, and is conducted between May and December in most years.

Pandalus borealis has been the main commercial species throughout the history of the shrimp fishery in this area. Historically most of the harvest of *P. montagui* occurred as by-catch in the directed *P. borealis* fishery. Directed fishing for *P. montagui* has become more important especially with new quotas available in areas Nunavut-West and Nunavik-West beginning with the 2013/14 fishing season.

The fishery began in the late 1970s in SFA 1. Exploratory fishing expanded into what is now Davis Strait-East management unit (previously SFA 2) and then to areas southeast of Resolution Island in Hudson Strait. Quotas in these areas were based on fishery performance and not scientific survey data. In the mid-1990s, the fishery moved southeast of Resolution Island in SFA 2, where the main fishery remains to date. Implementation of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement in 1999 shifted the main fishery east of the Nunavut Settlement Area. Over the last twelve years, the distribution of fishing effort has remained unchanged.

Fishery catch per unit effort (CPUE) data are not considered to reflect stock status. Commercial fishing locations are not broadly distributed; fishing vessels target areas of high density. A mix of two shrimp species is targeted in the fishery and the composition of the two species in the catch determines which species is designated as directed, which biases CPUE calculations. Over the time period of the fishery, economic factors (e.g., fuel prices, market price of shrimp) have influenced when and where the species are caught. In the EAZ, commercial vessel performance has changed over the years to target each species to achieve cleaner catches of just one species. Renewed effort in the WAZ is relatively recent. Whether cleaner catches can be similarly achieved in the WAZ remains to be seen. In 2016, however, there were reports of mixed catches, primarily in the *P. montagui* directed sets which had a high proportion of *P. borealis*.

#### **ASSESSMENT**

This is an assessment of both *P. borealis* and *P. montagui* in the EAZ and WAZ (Figure 1). These two species have overlapping distributions, especially in the Resolution Island area, resulting in an overlap of their fisheries. The total removal, both directed catch and by-catch, of each species is considered in the assessment.

Survey data, since the last full assessment, comes from the 2015 and 2016 NSRF–DFO surveys of the EAZ (Resolution Island Study Area (RISA)-W, RISA-E and SFA 2EX) and WAZ (SFA 3) survey areas (Figure 2). Survey data in the EAZ are available for the period of 2006–2016, however, the first two years are not considered comparable with the rest of the series because of incomplete coverage and operational issues; thus only 2008–2016 data are evaluated for the EAZ.

The WAZ (Figure 2) was surveyed biennially by DFO from 2007–2013. Because the WAZ was surveyed by a different ship, gear and time of year, it could not be combined with the survey results of the EAZ. This prevented a comprehensive evaluation of the distributions of shrimp and a more practical look at broader stock implications. In 2014, the NSRF was commissioned to take over the survey of the WAZ so that it is sampled in conjunction with the EAZ as a means to address these issues. This action started a new time series for the WAZ. In 2016, the WAZ

was surveyed for the third year in the new time series. It will require at least an additional two years of data in this time series to assess the status of the whole population for the purpose of the PA framework.

The assessment follows the framework established by DFO (2007a). Fishable and female spawning stock biomass (SSB) indices from scientific surveys form the basis of the assessment. Fishable biomass is based on male and female shrimp from the surveys with a carapace length greater than 17 mm. SSB is based on all female shrimp from the surveys regardless of size. The recruitment index was removed from this assessment as too few recruitment-sized shrimp are caught in the trawl codend during the survey to produce a meaningful index. Fishery data are used to determine the observed exploitation rate index calculated as catch from the reporting records (Canadian Atlantic Quota Report; CAQR) divided by the fishable biomass index from the same year. The potential exploitation rate index was calculated assuming the entire TAC was taken. Bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals are included for each of the indices.




Figure 2. Location of the northern survey areas (left panel) within the Eastern and Western Assessment Zones (Figure 1) and the management units (right panel) referred to in this report. Shrimp Fishing Area (SFA), Exploratory (EX), Resolution Island Study Area (RISA), East (E), West (W), Nunavut (NU), Nunavik (NK) and Davis Strait (DS). Red line shows the borders of the Nunavut, Nunatsiavut and Nunavik Land Claims Areas.

For this assessment, resource status in the EAZ was evaluated within the PA framework (DFO 2006). Reference points were set (DFO 2009) and an IFMP (DFO 2007b) is in place for shrimp in the EAZ. The Limit Reference Point (LRP) is 30% and the Upper Stock Reference (USR) is 80% of the geometric mean of SSB for 2006–2008. Reference Points for the WAZ were developed with the same proxies and adopted at the 2012 Zonal Advisory Process (DFO 2012). They are, however, no longer applicable because 2014 was the start of a new survey time series.

The WAZ was surveyed biennially with the Greenland Institute of Natural Resources' research vessel *Paamiut* using a Cosmos trawl from 2007–2013. The EAZ was surveyed with the commercial fishing vessels *Cape Ballard* from 2005 to 2011, and *Aqviq* in 2012 and 2013. Both the EAZ and WAZ were surveyed by the commercial fishing vessel *Kinguk* in 2014. In 2015, the commercial fishing vessel *Katsheshuk II* was used, while in 2016 the survey was completed again with the *Aqviq*. A standard Campelen trawl was used to sample the EAZ in 2006 and 2007. In 2008, to improve coverage and reduce the incidence of tear-ups in the RISA survey area, a modified Campelen trawl was developed (Siferd and Legge 2014) and first used. That same year, a standard Campelen trawl was used in the SFA 2EX survey area. The modified Campelen trawl has been used on all NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys in the EAZ (since 2008) and WAZ (since 2014). There has been no standardization between the DFO/Cosmos and the NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys.

Two additional aspects when interpreting the trawl survey data are worth noting. The first is the strong tidal currents in Hudson Strait, with speeds up to five knots, which could result in quick shifts in shrimp distribution and catchability. The second is that the near-bottom average water temperature in the WAZ was the lowest in the survey time series, which might have influenced the spatial distribution of the resource in the area.

# Eastern Assessment Zone – *P. borealis* Fishery

Catch has varied without trend around 6,000 t from 1997 through 2016/17 (Figure 3). The total reported catch for 2016/17, based on the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report (CAQR), as of 2 February 2017, was 5,613 t, 59% of the TAC (9,488 t).




Figure 3. Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis TAC and catch reported by the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report (CAQR). CAQR records may be incomplete for 2016/17 (data as of 2 February 2017).

#### **Biomass**

During the survey time series, the fishable biomass index varied without trend around the long-term mean (64,954 t) and was 65,570 t in 2016 (Figure 4a). The female spawning stock biomass (SSB) index varied without trend around the long-term mean (40,125 t) and was 34,827 t in 2016 (Figure 4b).




Figure 4. The Eastern Assessment Zone fishable and female spawning stock biomass indices of Pandalus borealis for the survey years 2006–2016. The first two years of survey data (2006–2007) are not considered to be comparable with the rest of the series because of poor trawl performance around Resolution Island. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### **Exploitation**

The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 8.4% with 59% of the TAC taken (Figure 5a). Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 9,488 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 14.5% (Figure 5b).




Figure 5. The Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis exploitation rate indices for a) the reported rate based on the catch taken and b) the potential rate if the TAC assigned to the zone was taken. The first two years of survey data (2006–2007) are not considered to be comparable with the rest of series because of poor trawl performance around Resolution Island. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### **Current Outlook**

The 2016/17 female SSB is currently well within the Healthy Zone of the IFMP PA Framework (Figure 6). There is very little chance of transgressing the Upper Stock Reference.




Figure 6. The Eastern Assessment Zone trajectory of Pandalus borealis female spawning stock biomass and exploitation rate indices in relation to its reference points. USR=Upper stock reference and LRP=limit reference point are 80% and 30% respectively of the geometric mean of the SSB index (2006–2008 in SFA 2). Error bars around the 2016/17 point are 95% confidence ranges.

# Eastern Assessment Zone – P. montagui

#### **Fishery**

Total catch in 2016/17 was 213 t, 25% of the 840 t TAC. Catch statistics in 2016/17 are preliminary and based on the CAQR data as of 2 February 2017.




Figure 7. Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui TAC and catch reported in the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report (CAQR). CAQR records may be incomplete for 2016/17 (data as of 2 February 2017).

#### **Biomass**

Biomass indices have fluctuated widely from 2011 to 2016 making the interpretation of stock status challenging. The fishable biomass index varied without trend around the long-term mean (12,713 t) and was 13,792 t in 2016 (figure 8a). The female spawning stock biomass (SSB) index also varied without trend around the long-term mean (9,037 t) and was 10,056 t in 2016 (figure 8b). The fluctuations in biomass indices likely result from a resource transfer across management boundaries rather than local dynamics within a population.




Figure 8. The Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui fishable and female spawning stock biomass indices for the survey years 2006–2016. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### **Exploitation**

The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 1.5% with 25% of the TAC taken (Figure 9a). Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 840 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 6.1% (Figure 9b).




Figure 9. The Eastern Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui exploitation rate indices for a) the reported rate, based on the catch taken and b) the potential rate if the TAC was taken. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges. Upper confidence limit for 2006/07 is shown numerically.

#### **Current Outlook**

The status of the resource within the IFMP PA framework is uncertain because of the wide fluctuations in the female SSB index (Figure 10). As a result, caution is advised when setting the TAC.




Figure 10. The Eastern Assessment Zone trajectory of Pandalus montagui female spawning stock biomass and exploitation rate indices in relation to its reference points. USR=Upper stock reference and LRP=limit reference point are 80% and 30% respectively of the geometric mean of the SSB index (2006–2008 in SFA 2). Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### Western Assessment Zone - P. borealis

#### **Fishery**

With the implementation of new management areas in the north, quotas for directed fishing were established for the first time for the 2013/14 fishing year at 1,500 t (Figure 11). The TAC was increased to 2,080 t for 2014/15 and has been maintained at this level. Total catch in 2016/17 was 641 t, which is 31% of the 2,080 t TAC. Catch statistics in 2016/17 are preliminary and based on the CAQR data as of 2 February 2017.




Figure 11. The Western Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis TAC and catch recorded in the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report (CAQR) for 2016/17 and observer records prior to 2013/14. Catch records from CAQR as of 2 February 2017.

#### **Biomass**

The 2014 survey began a new time series, not directly comparable with previous surveys because no trawl standardization between the DFO/Cosmos and NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys had taken place. Thus, the 2016 survey was the third survey in the new time series. The fishable biomass index declined from 28,532 t in 2015 to 13,116 t in 2016 (Figure 12a). The female SSB index declined from 14,710 t in 2015 to 8,015 t in 2016 (Figure 12b). Because the time series is so short, trends cannot be inferred.




Figure 12. Western Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis, a) fishable biomass and b) female spawning stock biomass indices for the four DFO/Cosmos surveys (blue diamonds). The 2014–2016 NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys (red diamonds) represent the new time series for the WAZ. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### **Exploitation**

The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 4.9% with 31% of the TAC taken (Figure 13a). Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 2,080 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 15.9% (Figure 13b). The decrease in biomass in 2016 combined with the TAC from 2015/16 maintained in 2016/17 resulted in a large increase in the exploitation rate. Large confidence intervals likely resulted from the patchy distribution of the resource in the area. The patchiness in resource distribution may have been influenced by the exceptionally cold water in the area.




Figure 13. The Western Assessment Zone Pandalus borealis exploitation rate indices for the a) reported rate, based on the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report catch and the b) potential rate if the entire TAC assigned to the zone was taken. Included are four DFO/Cosmos surveys (blue diamonds), and the 2014–2016 NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys (red diamonds) represent the new time series for the WAZ. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### **Current Outlook**

The current outlook for the resource remains unknown. In the WAZ, the resource is currently not assessed under a PA framework. At least two additional surveys are needed prior to establishing the PA framework for *P. borealis* in this area.

# Western Assessment Zone – P. montagui

#### **Fishery**

With the implementation of new management areas in the north, quotas for directed fishing were established for the first time for the 2013/14 fishing year at 5,000 t (Figure 14). The TAC was increased to 5,860 t for 2014/15, and increased further to 6,138 t in 2015/16 and has been maintained at this level (figure 14). Catch records from CAQR as of 2 February 2017 show that the TAC was taken.




Figure 14. The Western Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui TAC and catch recorded in the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report (CAQR) for 2016/17 and observer records prior to 2013/14. Catch records from CAQR as of 2 February 2017.

#### **Biomass**

The 2014 survey began a new time series, not directly comparable with previous surveys because there was no trawl standardization between the DFO/Cosmos and NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys. Thus, the 2016 survey was the third survey in the new time series. The fishable biomass index was 55,194 t² in 2015 and 31,724 t in 2016 (Figure 15a). The female SSB index was 27,324 t in 2015 and 18,691 t in 2016 (Figure 15b). Because the time series is so short, trends cannot be inferred.




Figure 15. Western Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui, a) fishable biomass and b) female spawning stock biomass indices. Included are four DFO/Cosmos surveys (blue diamonds), and the 2014–2016 NSRF-DFO/Campelen survey (red diamonds) which represents the new time series. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Erratum April 2017 – 49,582 t now reads 55,194 t

#### **Exploitation**

The reported exploitation rate index for 2016/17 was 19.1% with 99% of the TAC taken (Figure 16a). Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 6,138 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 19.3% (Figure 16b). The decrease in biomass in 2016 combined with the TAC from 2015/16 maintained in 2016/17 resulted in a large increase in the exploitation rate. Large confidence intervals most likely resulted from the patchy distribution of the resource in the area. The patchiness in resource distribution may have been influenced by the exceptionally cold water in the area.




Figure 16. The Western Assessment Zone Pandalus montagui exploitation rate indices for the a) reported rate, based on the Canadian Atlantic Quota Report catch and the b) potential rate if the entire TAC assigned to the zone was taken. Included are four DFO/Cosmos surveys (blue diamonds), and the 2014–2016 NSRF-DFO/Campelen surveys (red diamonds) represent the new time series for the WAZ. Error bars are 95% confidence ranges.

#### **Current Outlook**

The current outlook for the resource remains unknown. In the WAZ, the resource is currently not assessed under a PA framework. At least two additional surveys are needed prior to establishing the PA framework for *P. montagui* in this area.

# **Sources of Uncertainty**

Hudson Strait is a highly dynamic system with strong tidal currents and mixing. Shrimp could be transported great distances in a relatively short period of time in and out of the WAZ, EAZ, and SFA 4 to the south. This is the most likely cause in the wide fluctuations in biomass seen in these areas. Assessing only a subset of a larger population is a source of uncertainty in determining the true status of a resource.

Experimental work done by DFO in 2007 in the Resolution Island area suggests that survey results may be affected by the tidal cycle. Surveys from 2006–2008 were all conducted at the height of the spring tide, while the 2009–2014 surveys were conducted at neap tides to minimize the tidal effect. Regardless, the survey is conducted over a 24-hour period so strong tidal currents would still be present and may result in either an over- or underestimate of biomass.

The survey in the WAZ represents a new data series beginning in 2014 and is now an annual survey. The WAZ is now being surveyed with the same ship and trawl, conducted at the same time of year as the survey of the EAZ thus removing issues identified previously about comparing populations in the two assessment zones.

Trawls used in the survey are known to have a catchability less than one but the exact value is unknown. Therefore, the survey is an index of biomass and not an absolute estimate of the total biomass. Catch is known; however, the total fishery-induced mortality is unknown (landed catch plus incidental mortality from trawling). Exploitation rates are a relative index rather than absolute.

The validity of the PA stock reference points used in this assessment for the EAZ is somewhat questionable. Estimates from only three surveys were used to calculate the reference points and it is uncertain how the biomass during this period relates to  $B_{MSY}$ . In addition, the reference points no longer correspond to the assessment area and the first two surveys are no longer considered comparable with the remainder of the time series.

Four research vessels (*Cape Ballard*, *Aqviq*, *Kinguk*, *Katsheshuk II*) have been used throughout the time series in the EAZ. Expert opinion was that, given the commonality of the ships' dimensions, relative catchability would be consistent among vessels. However, this was not empirically tested.

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND ADVICE**

#### Eastern Assessment Zone - P. borealis

The resource is currently in the Healthy Zone within the IFMP PA framework. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 9,488 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 14.5%.

# Eastern Assessment Zone – P. montagui

The status of the resource within the PA framework is uncertain due to the wide fluctuations in the female SSB index. As a result, caution is advised when setting the TAC. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 840 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 6.1%.

#### Western Assessment Zone - P. borealis

The current outlook of the resource remains unknown. In the WAZ, the resource is currently not assessed within a PA framework. At least two additional surveys are needed prior to establishing the PA framework. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 2,080 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 15.9%.

# Western Assessment Zone - P. montagui

The current outlook of the resource remains unknown. In the WAZ, the resource is currently not assessed within a PA framework. At least two additional surveys are needed prior to establishing the PA framework. Based on the 2016/17 TAC of 6,138 t, the potential exploitation rate index was 19.3%.

# MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

In general, management of key forage species such as shrimp, under an ecosystem approach, requires adoption of a conservative approach with lower fishing mortality reference points and higher biomass reference points than those that would be adopted under a single species management approach. Keeping the exploitation rate at or below the base of 15% for the Healthy Zone of the IFMP PA framework is thought to be conservative and leaves sufficient forage in the water for predators.

The PA reference points in the EAZ should be viewed with caution and most likely need to be re-evaluated. Reference points were based on the former shrimp fishing areas (SFA 2 and SFA 3) which are different than the current assessment zones; thus, the biomass levels used to define the reference points may no longer be appropriate. In addition, the survey time series that were used to determine the reference points are much shorter than in other SFAs. For the EAZ, the time series included two years of data which is now not considered comparable with the rest of the series. The status of shrimp within the WAZ is no longer represented by the former reference points established in 2013 (DFO 2013) because these reference points were based on survey results that are not comparable to the new time series begun in 2014. New reference points in the WAZ cannot yet be established due to the short data series. Some consideration, both by Science and Resource Management sectors, should be given to the length of time series required to set appropriate reference points and to when reference points should be revaluated.

#### OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

For Northern Shrimp and Striped Shrimp in this assessment, indicators include fishable biomass, female spawning stock biomass, and exploitation rate indices. For stocks where a PA framework has been established, the trajectory of female spawning stock biomass and exploitation rate indices are evaluated in relation to reference points.

Assessments are planned every two years with assessment updates in the intervening years.

No generic trigger for a re-assessment earlier than planned has been established for shrimp in this assessment. If triggers were to be established they would be specific to each species and SFA or assessment zone. If a reassessment occurs ahead of schedule it should only involve the species and SFA or assessment zone of concern.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

This Science Advisory Report is from the February 16–17, 2017 Northern and Striped Shrimp Assessment. Additional publications from this meeting will be posted on the <u>DFO Science</u> Advisory Schedule as they become available.

- DFO. 2006. <u>A Harvest Strategy Compliant with the Precautionary Approach</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2006/023.
- DFO. 2007a. <u>Assessment Framework for Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) off Labrador and the northeastern coast of Newfoundland; 28-30 May 2007. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Proceed. Ser. 2007/034.</u>
- DFO. 2007b. <u>Integrated Fisheries Management Plan: Northern Shrimp Shrimp Fishing Areas</u> (SFAs) 0-7 and the Flemish Cap.
- DFO. 2009. <u>Proceedings of the Precautionary Approach workshop on shrimp and prawn stocks and fisheries</u>; November 26-27, 2008. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Proceed. Ser. 2008/031.
- DFO. 2011. <u>Assessment of Northern Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) and Striped Shrimp (Pandalus montagui) in western and eastern assessment zones (SFA 2 and 3)</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2011/010.
- DFO. 2012. Monitoring update for Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) and Striped Shrimp (*Pandalus montagui*) in the western and eastern assessment zones (SFA 2 and 3). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2012/001.
- DFO. 2013. <u>Assessment of Northern Shrimp (Pandalus borealis)</u> and <u>Striped Shrimp (Pandalus montagui)</u> in the eastern and western assessment zones (Shrimp Fishing Areas 2 and 3). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2013/031.
- DFO. 2015. 2015 Assessment of Northern Shrimp, *P*andalus *borealis*, and Striped Shrimp, *P*andalus *montagui*, in the Eastern and Western Assessment Zones. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2015/017.
- DFO. 2016. <u>Update of stock status indicators for Northern Shrimp</u>, <u>Pandalus borealis</u>, and <u>Striped Shrimp</u>, <u>Pandalus montagui</u>, in the Western and Eastern Assessment Zones for <u>2016</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2016/006.
- Siferd, T., and Legge, G. 2014. Modifications to the Campelen 1800 shrimp survey trawl. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2014/024. iv + 38 p.

# THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE FROM THE:

Centre for Science Advice (CSA)
Central and Arctic Region
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N6

Telephone: 204-983-5131
E-Mail: xcna-csa-cas@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Internet address: www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/

ISSN 1919-5087 © Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2017



#### Correct Citation for this Publication:

DFO. 2017. Assessment of Northern Shrimp, *Pandalus borealis*, and Striped Shrimp, *Pandalus montagui*, in the Eastern and Western Assessment Zones, February 2017. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2017/010. (Erratum: April 2017)

#### Aussi disponible en français :

MPO. 2017. Évaluation des stocks de crevette nordique, Pandalus borealis, et de crevette ésope, Pandalus montagui, dans les zones d'évaluation est et ouest, février 2017. Secr. can. de consult. sci. du MPO, Avis sci. 2017/010. (Erratum: avril 2017)